



ADDENDUM III

SUBJECT: Request for Competitive Sealed Proposal, Electronic Visual Information Display System, (RFCSP 21-047; 6100013796), Scheduled to Open: July 30, 2021. Date of Issue: May 28, 2021.

FROM: Jennifer Johnson
Procurement Administrator

DATE: June 15, 2021

THIS NOTICE SHALL SERVE AS ADDENDUM NO. III TO THE ABOVE REFERENCED REQUEST FOR COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS

QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH RFCSP SECTION 008, SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS:

Below is a list of questions that were asked in accordance with RFCSP Restrictions on Communication. The City's official response to questions asked is as follows:

Question 1: It was mentioned on the pre bid call and in RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, that there is a desire to have more dynamic digital signage for wayfinding and further enhanced visual content for the airport. Are these types of products to be brought in on a separate solicitation or the awardee of this contract? If on a separate solicitation, is there any timeline when this would be made let?

Response: If funded during the term of this contract, City may add additional functionality to this system.

Question 2: RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, Item 2.02.A.1.a.3, is it possible to use the Windows server 2019 version?

Response: This is the current CoSA provided environment. Anything required beyond the existing provided environment is the responsibility of the Respondent to include in the proposal.

Question 3: RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, Item 2.02.A.1.a.3, is it possible to get 24 or 32 GB RAM?

Response: This is the current CoSA provided environment. Any beyond the existing provided environment is required to be provided by the Respondent.

Question 4: RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, Item 2.03.O.4, how is it expected to capture video from sources like camera or DVD player? Will it always be a digital video stream available on the network? Is it expected to interface by HDMI cable or similar?

Response: Future capability will be based on digital video stream available on the network.

- Question 5: RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, Item 2.03.Q, what functionality are you requiring for the mobile devices?
Response: Users must have the ability to make mobile updates to the EVIDS.
- Question 6: RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, Item 2.04.B.1, what activities are expected to be done on Common use workstations? Can you provide browser specifications used on these computers?
Response: Data share functionality between the two systems is required. Respondent shall address data integration between the Common Use platform and their proposed solution in the technical response.
- Question 7: RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, Item 2.04.B.4, can you specify Daktronics LEDs models? It is available to integrate with Daktronics DVS application?
Response: Daktronics Display - DVX-2851-15MN-6000- WC-HC-120x288- 230BR-LT-MR.
- Question 8: RFCSP Exhibit 1, Functional & Technical Requirements, Item 2.07.B.1, can you provide specifications of new DDCs (installed in 2019)?
Response: Devices are Infax Inc. (Current Vendor) BCIC media controllers - Infax BCIC (C9215R4-TL0-0B04C).
- Question 9: What is the start/end date for construction?
Response: See Section 009, Award of Contract and Reservation of Rights. The notice to proceed will be issued following contract award and approval of the City Council. Construction duration will be identified in Respondent's response to RFCSP Attachment A, Part Three, Proposed Plan #3, Project Management.
- Question 10: What is the estimated value for this project?
Response: Respondent shall submit the proposed price to implement their respective solution.
- Question 11: Are there union requirements for this project?
Response: No.
- Question 12: If the selected vendor has a contract in place with the CoSA, can this be considered as a starting point for the Master Agreement?
Response: No. The City seeks to contract with a vendor under the terms and conditions specified in the RFCSP and Respondents have the opportunity to price their proposals accordingly. This RFCSP and the recommended respondent's proposal will be the starting point for the agreement to be considered by City Council. See Section 009 Award of Contract and Reservation of Rights. City will require the selected Respondent(s) to execute a contract with the City, prior to City Council award, incorporating the terms and conditions of this RFCSP.
- Question 13: Is the City's preference for the Content Management System to be an on-premises or cloud-based solution?
Response: No preference.
- Question 14: Is the City's preference for the EVIDS player software to be Windows-based or LGwebOS based?
Response: No preference.

- Question 15: Does the City wish to replace all existing displays located in the airport/terminal?
Response: Please reference Section 004 Scope of Services, and the specifications to confirm what displays shall be replaced throughout the terminals.
- Question 16: Please specify the following display sizes:
a. DD-1-4-35 Monitor
b. DD-2-5-01 Monitor
c. DD-2-5-02 Monitor
d. DD-2-5-03 Monitor
e. DD-2-5-04 Monitor
Response: Please reference RFCSP Drawings section -- all display sizes are identified on RFCSP Drawings for each respective area.
- Question 17: Please specify the LG display models preferred for this deployment.
Response: Respondent shall determine the recommended LG display models as part of their proposal.
- Question 18: Does the City prefer to use digital signage players rather than LG displays with System on a Chip capability?
Response: No preference.
- Question 19: If the City prefers digital signage players, does the City wish to have a 1:1 player to display ratio?
Response: No preference.
- Question 20: Is there a Daktronics display/video wall used with the Cell Phone Lot integration? If so, what is the resolution of the Daktronics display/video wall?
Response: Yes, a Daktronics sign is installed at the cell lot and is managed by the existing MUFIDS system: Daktronics Display - DVX-2851-15MN-6000- WC-HC-120x288- 230BR-LT-MR
- Question 21: What are the City's preferred SLAs?
Response: The proposed SLA should be included in Respondent's proposal. See RFCSP Attachment A, Part Three, Proposed Plan, Item 10.
- Question 22: What vendor currently provides flight information data?
Response: Flight information data is provided by OAG. City owns the OAG flight data feed that is then used by the FIDS/MUFIDS vendor to display on the FIDs monitors.
- Question 23: Is the City interested in learning about integration capabilities beyond this scope of work?
Response: Please respond to the scope of services based on the functional and technical requirements identified in this RFCSP.
- Question 24: What challenges has the City met with its current digital signage deployment?
Response: City will discuss project planning details based on any previous implementation history with the selected Respondent.
- Question 25: Is the bid due date and time July 14, 2021 at 2:00 PM?
Response: No. Addendum II extended the due date to 2:00 p.m. Central Time on July 30, 2021.
- Question 26: Can the City provide a current plan holders list for the project?
Response: No. There is no plan holders list.

- Question 27: The pre-bid attendance list?
Response: See Exhibit A to Addendum I.
- Question 28: The budget estimate for the cost of construction?
Response: See response to Question #10.
- Question 29: Is there a set start date and amount for the project above?
Response: See response to Question #9 and Question #10.
- Question 30: Our Contracts department noticed there is a provision in the RFCSP that would strike any limitation of liability language. Can you please clarify?
Response: The City seeks to contract with a vendor under the terms and conditions specified in the RFCSP and Respondents have the opportunity to price their proposals accordingly.
- Question 31: Does the scope of the new MUFIDS only cover one terminal at SAT?
Response: No. Please reference Section 004 – Scope of Services.
- Question 32: Who is the current vendor that is supplying the MUFIDS for the other terminal?
Response: Infax Inc. provides MUFIDS to both Terminal A and B.
- Question 33: Would the City like the MUFIDS to expand to the other terminal in the future?
Response: MUFIDS to all terminals is a requirement in this RFCSP. Please reference RFCSP Section 004 Scope of Services.
- Question 34: RFCSP Section 004 Scope of Services states that “In addition to the CMS platform, CoSA is searching for one vendor who can provide content for the MUFIDS and all potential future deployments related to the CMS.” Would SAT like some content management capabilities or would SAT prefer that the content is entirely managed by the successful vendor? If SAT would like the content to be entirely vendor-managed, can SAT please elaborate on the extent of content creation and management this would involve?
Response: Please reference Section 004 Scope of Services, as well as RFCSP Attachment B - Requirements Matrix.
- Question 35: How many displays will the MUFIDS support at SAT?
Response: Please reference Section 004 Scope of Services, the Drawing set, as well as RFCSP Attachment B - Requirements Matrix.
- Question 36: Do any of the displays at SAT need to be replaced? If yes, who will be responsible for procuring the displays?
Response: Please reference Section 004 Scope of Services. Monitors currently installed in Terminal A shall be replaced under this RFCSP. Terminal B monitors are new and shall remain in place. It is the responsibility of the vendor to provide and replace the respective monitors identified.
- Question 37: Who is responsible for procuring the necessary hardware/equipment (mounts, thin clients, cables) for the MUFIDS installation at SAT?
Response: It will be the responsibility of the selected Respondent to procure necessary hardware/equipment for the MUFIDS installation at SAT. This RFCSP is intended to be a turn-key implementation.

- Question 38: As there is no mention of it in the bid document, would SAT like vendors to include a flight tracker with weather overlay in the proposal?
Response: Please reference Attachment B, Requirements Matrix.
- Question 39: As there is no mention of it in the bid document, would SAT like vendors to include FIDS integration with SAT's website in the proposal?
Response: Please reference Attachment B, Requirements Matrix.
- Question 40: What is SAT's timeline for awarding the contract?
Response: See response to Question #9.
- Question 41: When would SAT like to begin implementation? What is the desired timeline for completion of the system?
Response: See response to Question #9.
- Question 42: I noticed the Electronic Visual Information Display System for the San Antonio International Airport (RFCSP 6100013796; 21-047) has a VOSB component – what is the percentage?
Response: There is no percentage. The City desires to only track VOSB participation for this solicitation.
- Question 43: In order to be able to bid on this project, does Respondent have to meet all the requirements in the "General" category listed in RFCSP Attachment A, Part Four, Requirements Matrix?
Response: Not necessarily. The "General" requirements are preferred qualifications. Respondent has the option of responding "Yes" or "No" to each line and inserting comments to explain. A "No" response should include comments which explain rationale or alternatives.

Jennifer Johnson

Jennifer Johnson

Procurement Administrator

Finance Department – Purchasing Division