



ADDENDUM IX

SUBJECT: Annual Contract for Parking Access and Revenue Control System Replacement and Upgrades, (RFCSP 25-113, 6100019178), Scheduled to Close: March 9, 2026; Date of Issue: October 10, 2025

FROM: Charisma Esparza
Procurement Administrator

DATE: February 27, 2026

THIS NOTICE SHALL SERVE AS ADDENDUM NO. IX - TO THE ABOVE REFERENCED REQUEST FOR COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS

THE ABOVE MENTIONED REQUEST FOR COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS IS HEREBY AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Revised: RFCSP Attachment A, Part Two – Experience, Background and Qualifications. Questions 14 and 15 have been added to Attachment A, Part Two – Experience, Background and Qualifications.**

14. Current Parking Services Contracts

Respondent shall provide a comprehensive listing of all current contracts and agreements under which the Respondent (or any affiliated entity) is providing **parking services within the San Antonio city limits**. For each contract/engagement, include the following information:

- 1. Contracting Entity / Client Name**
- 2. Geographic Location / Site Name**

Specifically identify and highlight all locations within the defined downtown area, described as the area bounded by:

North: _____

South: _____

East: _____

West: _____

- 3. Physical Address of Each Location**
- 4. Number of Parking Spaces Provided at Each Location**
- 5. Type of Parking Services Provided** (e.g., surface lot management, garage operations, valet, enforcement, permit administration, event parking services, technology/parking system management, etc.)

Additionally: **If available please provide a map or graphic** that clearly identifies each of the geographic locations referenced, including associated contract/site identifiers matching and correspond to those in your listing.

15. Parking and Reservation System Integration Experience

Please describe the Respondent’s experience participating in, developing, integrating with, or operating **parking and reservation systems that provide citywide parking availability** for both **public and private parking assets**. Your response should address experience with systems that include, but are not limited to, the following capabilities:

1. **Advance Parking Reservations and Payments**, including prepaid parking for daily, event-based, or long-term use.
2. **Real-Time Parking Availability**, including data aggregation across multiple facilities and operators.
3. **Turn-by-Turn Navigation and Wayfinding** to parking locations, including integration with mobile applications or third-party navigation platforms.
4. **System Integration and Data Sharing**, including APIs, data standards, and interoperability with municipal systems, private operators, and third-party platforms.
5. **User Experience and Customer Access**, including mobile applications, web portals, and accessibility considerations.

For each relevant project or system, please include:

- Name and location of the city or jurisdiction
- Respondent’s role and level of responsibility
- Technologies or platforms utilized
- Current operational status (pilot, active, sunset, etc.)

If applicable, describe any challenges encountered, lessons learned, and outcomes achieved related to scalability, adoption, and system performance.

QUESTIONS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 003, RESTRICTIONS OF COMMUNICATION:

Question 1: The RFCSP states existing PARCS network architecture diagram is available and will be provided to the awarded vendor. For more accurate network architecture-related quoting, it would be best if we can receive the network diagrams BEFORE contract award, is that possible?

Response: Please refer to the chart below:

Parking Lot	Network
Houston St Garage (111 College St)	AT&T
St Mary's Garage (205 E Travis)	AT&T
Convention Center Garage (41 S Bowie St)	AT&T
Central Library Garage (600 Soledad)	AT&T
City Tower Garage 117 W Commerce St)	AT&T

Market Square Dock (612 W Commerce)	AT&T
I-35 Lot (680 W Houston)	No network
I-37 Lot (427 9th Street)	No network
Houston / Nolan Lot (1001 E Houston)	No network
Martinez Lot (598 E Cesar E. Chavez Blvd)	No network
South Alamo Lot (418 S Alamo)	No network
Alamodome Lot A, B, C & Iowa Lot (100 Montana St)	No network

Question 2: Will we have to run all new conduit everywhere to pull new Cat6 cable through or can we re-use the existing conduit in place?

Response: New conduits will be required.

Question 3: Will we need to pull all new cat6 at all locations or can we reuse the existing cat6 where it exists?

Response: New Cat 6 cable will be required for all end points.

Question 4: Can the city identify any location that currently uses fiber?

Response: None of the parking garages in scope are connected via fiber.

Question 5: Are we expected to also provide the Internet Service Itself, or just to manage the network the PARCS sits on?

Response: Awarded vendor is required to provide Internet Service.

Question 6: Can the city provide a total switch count per location that exists today based on the current physical networking?

Response: Switch counts should be based on respondent's design.

Question 7: Can we please get confirmation on where all IDF rooms are so we can ascertain the distance of the physical network runs?

Response: New IDF rooms will be required. Current locations can't be shared.

Question 8: Page 40, Section 4.18.5 - It appears that there might be conflicting information that has been provided in the Addendum VI. Does the City's response state that the existing parking infrastructure as it relates to the network can be used by the respondent? Does this include current data line runs that are connected to the existing PARCS equipment?

Response: The existing IDF rooms and infrastructure can't be shared or used by the awarded vendor. These are small rooms lacking the space to separate using a cage.

Question 9: For the Alamodome monument signs, are we expected to run power, data, and pathways, or can we tap into the power/data if it is in place on the existing signage poles where digital signage is in place? If not, can the City provide additional details as to where the City would allow our team to access power & data?

Response: Power is available, but awarded vendor will be responsible for data connectivity to include conduit/pathways.

Question 10: In reference to section 4.27.1 - It is understood that the city doesn't have drawings; however, if there are specific zones, levels, or sectors that need to be counted separately, we would need to know where those areas are as significant infrastructure and equipment

is needed. If the city is expecting any separately counted areas as part of the initial installation, please provide in whatever format is available.

Response: The City does not provide drawings for this, but the vendor should provide a plan to identify occupancy per facility with the ability to break down occupancy by floor, sector, or zone. There is no specific plan for this, and that should be provided by the respondent.

Question 11: Could you confirm the bit count and format of the Hirsch access cards?

Response: The City utilizes a 26 bit, 125khz, proximity card stock.



Charisma Esparza
Procurement Administrator
Finance Department - Procurement Division