CONSENT AGENDA

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Thomas G. Wendorf, P. E., Director of Public Works

Robert Ojeda, Fire Chief, Fire Department

THROUGH: Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

COPIES:

Melissa Byrne Vossmer, Andrew Martin, Louis A. Lendman, Milo D. Nitschke,

Carl Wedige, Emil Moncivais, David Garza, Doug Yerkes and file

SUBJECT:

New Fire Station # 7

DATE:

December 12, 2002

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This ordinance accepts a proposal and approves a professional service contract in the amount of \$153,637.00 for architectural services payable to 3D/International, a non-MBE firm, and authorizes \$15,000.00 for miscellaneous contingency, \$4,000.00 for reimbursable and printing expenses, and \$800.00 for advertising expenses for an overall total of \$173,437.00 in connection with the new Fire Station #7, an authorized 2001 Certificates of Obligation funded project. The facility will be located on South St. Mary's Street with frontage also on South Presa and Florida Streets, in Council District 1.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This project includes the design of a new 11,750 square foot, two-story, three-apparatus-bay fire station with firefighter's dormitory, complete site development, utilities that will provide fire protection, and EMS services. The proposed facility will be located on an irregular shaped 53,155 sq. ft. site fronting South St. Mary's Street with street access also to South Presa and Florida Streets. This project is part of the Fire Department's Five-Year Rolling Master Plan. The amount of \$153,637.00 for architectural professional services provides the lump sum fee of \$118,637.00 for basic design services and \$35,000.00 for additional professional services, which include geo-technical and materials testing, land surveying and platting services, development of the waste management plan, traffic impact analysis, fire flow testing, and landscape and irrigation design services.

Fire Station No. 7 was delayed due to numerous challenging site acquisition efforts. Locating a large enough fire station site in a highly developed historical neighborhood which also met the emergency response time and street network requirements of the Fire Department was extremely difficult. The initial site acquisition efforts in dealing with both residential and environmental issues of an old filling station and site cost re-negotiations resulted in having to abandon the selected site and to restart the site acquisition phase again with the same difficult site requirements and encountering similar obstacles. Ultimately the site acquisition of five separate lots was successful and the project was re-evaluated, programmed and re-estimated with the proposed consultants. Final negotiations have been finalized with the selected architectural firm.

The selection of the consultant for this project was accomplished through a request for qualification process that selected a group of several consultants for four fire station projects. The Architects and Engineers Selection Committee headed by the Director of Public Works decided that it was in the best of the City to award this project to 3D/International taking into consideration both qualification ranking and the candidate's current work load. Approval of this ordinance will be a continuation of past City Council policy to implement previously approved Capital Improvements Projects.

FISCAL IMPACT

This is a one-time capital improvement expenditure. Funds in the amount of \$173,437.00 are available from the 2001 Certificates of Obligation and authorized payable as follows:

| \$118,637.00 | Payable to 3D/International for professional A/E services |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| \$ 35,000.00 | Payable to 3D/International for additional professional services |
| \$ 15,000.00 | Payable for miscellaneous design contingency |
| \$ 4,000.00 | Payable for reimbursable expenses |
| \$ 800.00 | Payable for advertising expenses |
| \$173,437.00 | Total amount of this Ordinance |

COORDINATION

This request for Ordinance approval has been coordinated with the Office of Management and Budget, the Finance Department, the Planning Department, Neighborhood Action Department, and the Economic Development Department.

SUPPLEMENTARY COMMENTS

The Discretionary Contracts Disclosure statement required by the Ethics Ordinance is attached, (Attachment #4).

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment #1:

A/E Design Proposal

Attachment #2:

G.I.S. Location Map

Attachment #3:

Firm Qualification Ranking Information

Attachment #4:

Discretionary Contracts Ethics Disclosure

Thomas G. Wendorf, P. E. Director of Public Works

10/31/02

Robert Ojeda, Fire Chief

Fire Department

Melissa Byrne Volsmet Assistant City Manager

Approved:

Terry M. Brechtel

City Manager

219 East Houston Street, Suite 350 San Antonio, Texas 78205-1801 Telephone 210/227-2500 Fax 210/227-9704 http://www.3di.com

17 October 2002

Mr. Jesse Fernandez Office of the City Architect City of San Antonio P.O. Box 839966 San Antonio, Texas 78283-3966

RE: Fire Station No. 7

Dear Mr. Fernandez:

This is our revised proposal for design services for Fire Station No. 7.

PROJECT SCOPE

The proposal assumes that Fire Station No. 7 is to be designed with three equipment bays, sited so that a fourth equipment bay may be added at a later date, and with the third bay designed as a deductive alternate. Each equipment bay is to be 20 feet by 80 feet. A two-story structure with a footprint of just less than 3,500 square feet, housing offices, the dormitory and related facilities, adjoins the equipment structure. The total area of the building will be about 11,750 square feet and the floor plan layouts previously developed for Fire Station No. 8 will be used for this Station.

Site work will consist of vehicular approaches on both Presa and St. Mary's streets, parking, fencing, gates, exterior lighting, landscape and irrigation.

DESIGN TEAM

The following are proposed as principal members of the design team. If the City has any objection to any of the named firms, an acceptable alternate will be selected.

Architect: 3D/International, Inc.

Structural Engineer: Jaster-Quintanilla San Antonio, LLP
MEP Engineer: James T. Rodriguez Consulting Engineers

Civil Engineer: Bain Medina Bain, Inc.
Landscape Architect: Laffoon Associates

Geotechnical Engineer: AMEC Earth & Environmental Environmental Engineer: AMEC Earth & Environmental Materials Testing: Drash Consulting Engineers, Inc.

BASIC AND ADDITIONAL SERVICES

Basic services will consist of all architectural design services (including interior finish selections and the design of fixed casework but excluding the selection of moveable furniture), structural engineering design, mechanical-electrical-plumbing engineering design and civil engineering design. These services will commence with programming and extend through completion of construction.

We believe there will be substantial community interest in the design of this project and, while we are supportive of the concept of community involvement, we have also been involved in projects where such

3D International

involvement has gotten out of hand in terms of our hours expended. Our basic services proposal includes six meetings with neighborhood groups dealing with general design issue and specifically excludes discussions of any specific architectural feature that would visually mitigate the required setback from St. Mary's Street. If this becomes an issue that the City chooses to discuss, we will propose an additional service fee for the related meetings and design work.

Proposed additional services consist of the following:

Geotechnical services

Preparation of waste management plan

Topographic and tree survey

Platting services

Drainage study

Traffic impact analysis

Landscape and irrigation

Fire flow test

Texas Accessibility Standards compliance

Materials testing services

Printing of construction documents

Design contingency

PROPOSED BASIC SERVICES FEE

The City's estimates of the total cost of construction are as follows:

| Building: 11,750 SF at \$127.50 PSF \$ | 1,498,125 |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| Site work: 53,155 SF at \$3.00 PSF | 159,465 |
| Landscape/irrigation allowance | 100,000 |
| Total Estimated Cost: \$ | 1,757,590 |

Based on the City's Schedule, the fee for this project will be 6.75% of the cost of construction. Based on the estimated cost stated above, this will be a lump sum of \$118,637. If the third bay is not constructed, we would be due a fee based on 80% of the mutually-agreed estimated cost of that bay (not the deduct alternate amount). If the City chooses to award a construction contract in excess of the estimated amount stated above, we will be compensated for the additional value of construction based on the stated fee percentage.

PROPOSED FEES FOR ADDITIONAL SERVICES

The following are proposed for additional services.

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Geotechnical services | \$ 3,658 |
| Waste management plan | \$ 2,502 |
| Topographic and tree survey | \$ 3,706 |
| Platting services | \$ 5,416 |
| Drainage study | \$ 3,632 |
| Traffic impact analysis | \$ 1,530 |
| Landscape and irrigation | \$ 4,900 |
| Fire flow test | \$ 300 |
| TAS compliance | \$ 925 |
| Materials testing services | \$ 8,470 |

Additionally, we request a minimum design contingency of \$2,500 and a printing and miscellaneous contingency of \$4,000.

| ADDITIONAL SERVICE FEES BY Geotechnical services | Y HOUF | R AND BIL | LING R | ATE |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-----|
| Two 30-foot borings | 60 ft | \$12/ft | 720 | |
| Mobilization | LS | \$12/It | 80 | |
| Utility location | 2 hr | \$40/h= | | |
| Technician/logger | 2 m 5 hr | \$40/hr | 80 | |
| Lab testing | | \$40/hr | 200 | |
| _ | LS | C1107 | 808 | |
| Engineer | 2 hr | \$110/hr | 220 | |
| EIT (Field) | 4 hr | \$66/hr | 264 | |
| EIT (Report) | 14 hr | | 924 | |
| Draftsman | 4 hr | \$44/hr | 176 | |
| Clerical | 2 hr | \$36/hr | 72 | |
| Supplies/equipment | LS | | 114 | |
| Waste management plan | | | | |
| Project manager | 4 hr | \$100/hr | 400 | |
| Geologist/enviro scientist | 20 hr | | 1,560 | |
| Clerical | 5 hr | \$36.hr | 180 | |
| Draftsman | ·5 hr | \$44/hr | 220 | |
| Supplies/equipment | LS | | 142 | |
| Topographic and tree survey | | | | |
| RPLS | 4 hr | \$95/hr | 380 | |
| CAD Tech | 12 hr | | 816 | |
| Survey Tech | 6 hr | \$60/hr | 360 | |
| Survey crew (3) | 16 hr | | 1,760 | |
| GPS equip | 4 hr | \$25/hr | 100 | |
| Admin Asst | 2 hr | \$45/hr | 90 | |
| Abstractor | 4 hr | \$50/hr | 200 | |
| Platting services | - | 400,111 | | |
| Design Engineer | 4 hr | \$95/hr | 380 | |
| RPLS | 4 hr | \$95/hr | 380 | |
| CAD Tech | 12 hr | | 816 | |
| Survey Tech | 40 hr | | 2,400 | |
| Drafting Tech | 16 hr | | 640 | |
| Survey crew (3) | 4 hr | \$110/hr | 440 | |
| Admin Asst | 8 hr | | | |
| Drainage study | 0 111 | \$45/hr | . 360 | |
| Design Engineer | 4 hr | \$95/hr | 200 | |
| EIT | | | 380 | |
| | 24 hr | \$75/hr | 1,800 | |
| Survey Tech | 4 hr | \$60/hr | 240 | |
| CAD Tech | 4 hr | \$68 | 272 | |
| Drafting Tech | 8 hr | \$40/hr | 320 | |
| Survey crew (3) | 4 hr | \$110/hr | 440 | |
| Admin Asst | 4 | \$45/hr | 180 | |
| Traffic impact analysis | | | | |
| Design Engineer | 4 hr | \$95/hr | 380 | |
| EIT | 12 hr | \$75/hr | 900 | |
| Drafting Tech | 4 hr | \$40/hr | 160 | |
| Admin Asst | 2 hr | \$45/hr | 90 | |

| Landscape and irrigation | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------|
| | 40 1 | CO = 1 | 2 400 |
| Landscape architect | 40 hr | | 3,400 |
| Irrigation specialist | 30 hr | \$50/hr | 1,500 |
| TAS compliance | | | |
| Plan review | | | 500 |
| Inspection | | | 325 |
| State filing fee | | | 100 |
| Materials testing: Earthwork/s | oils | | |
| Moisture/density | 3 | \$150/test | 450 |
| Atterberg limits | 3 | \$50/test | 150 |
| Particle size analysis | 1 | \$50/test | 50 |
| Earthwork field testing: Site w | ork | | |
| Technician | 20 hr | \$32/hr | 640 |
| Density tests | 40 | \$10/test | 400 |
| Trip charge | 10 | \$20/trip | 200 |
| Foundation monitoring: Drille | d piers | • | |
| Monitoring | 40 hr | \$35/hr | 1,400 |
| Overtime | 20 hr | \$45.50/hr | - |
| Cylinder testing | 20 | \$12.50/tes | |
| Trip charge | 6 | \$20/trip | 120 |
| Concrete testing: Building and | site | • | |
| Technician | 50 hr | \$32/hr | 1,600 |
| Cylinder testing | 40 | \$12.50/tes | |
| Trip charge | 20 | \$20/trip | 400 |
| Structural steel observations | | 4-0/ u.p | |
| Observation | 20 hr | \$65/hr | 1300 |
| Trip charge | 5 | \$20/trip | 100 |
| Trip ondigo | _ | ΨΣσιτιρ | 100 |

HOURLY RATES FOR CITY PRE-APPROVED UNSCHEDULED ADDITIONAL SERVICES

The specific hourly hourly rate within each classification listed below is based on a multiple of 2.50 times Direct Personnel Expense of each individual. The direct personnel expense is a multiple of 1.31 times the hourly pay rate. Individual billing rates will fall within the range outlined below and will be rounded off to the nearest five-dollar amount. It is acknowledged that unscheduled additional services are not contemplated and, should they be required, these services will be provided only if preauthorized in writing by the City and will be paid for by the City in addition to the compensation for basic services.

| 3D/I Principal | \$ 125 |
|----------------|-----------|
| 3D/I Architect | \$ 95 |
| 3D/I Staff 1 | \$ 58 |
| 3D/I Staff 2 | \$ 65 |

The Principal who will be assigned to this project is Milton Babbitt.

PROPOSED DESIGN SCHEDULE

We propose to accomplish the design of Fire Station No. 7 according to the following schedule. The durations indicated for each phase excludes City review time.

| Programming and Schematic Desig | n 56 calendar days |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Design Development | 60 calendar days |
| Construction Documents | 60 calendar days |

The number of days proposed assumes that the completion of the design and construction documents are not delayed due to repeated meetings with the Historic and Design Review Commission, because of design concerns or opposition by neighborhood groups or additional phase completion review time required by the City, over which we have no control.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE

| Schematic Design | 15% of fee |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Design Development | 20% of fee |
| Construction Documents | 45% of fee |
| Construction Administration | 20% of fee |

STATEMENTS OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

Statements of probable construction cost will be provided at the completion of each of the three design phases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

We will provide the insurance coverage and requirements as set forth in Paragraph IV of the standard Professional Services Contract.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF LIQUIDATED DAMAGES POLICY

Should the work required for each of the three design phases not be completed within the time durations indicated above, it is acknowledged that liquidated damages, in the amount of \$150 per working day, may be assessed according to City policy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF INDEMNIFICATION CLAUSE

The City's indemnification requirements, set forth in paragraph XIII of the Professional Services Contract, is acknowledged.

CONTRACTS DISCLOSURE

The completed required forms accompany this proposal.

Respectfully submitted,

Milton Babbitt, AIA Sr. Vice President

Attachment 2 G.I.S. Location Map
Page 1 of 1 NORTH CARQ MASTE Project Location TEROBAL G.I.S. Location Map CAROLINASTW PHE PATE UNK CLAUDIAST STMARYSSTS 1414 St. Mary's Street Council District No.1 Fire Station No. 7 FIRST 15 drato



New Fire Station No. 7, 16, Westover Hills & Stone Oak ARCHITECT/ENGINEER STATEMENT OF INTEREST REVIEW

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO

NOVEMBER 1996

| No. | Architect/Engineer Candidates | | Ratings | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | | Fire Department | Public Works | Public Works | Subtotal | +10% Local San Antonio Business/ +4% Bexar County Business | DBE Firm +5% | Total Number of Points | Group Rating |
| 1 | Alamo Architects | 459 | 410 | 458 | 1,327 | 168 | 17 | 1,512 | 1 |
| 2 | *Beaty Saunders Arch. Inc. | 447 | 413 | 448 | 1,308 | 168 | 28 | 1,504 | 2 |
| 3 | Bender Associates | 423 | 351 | 415 | 1,189 | 168 | 25 | 1,382 | 12 |
| 4 | *Chesney-Morales & Assoc. Inc. | 4 67 | 339 | 470 | 1,276 | 168 | 0 | 1,444 | 6 |
| 5 | Louis Cruz Architects, Inc. | 3 99 | 239 | 358 | 996 | 168 | 84 | 1,248 | 20 |
| 6 | Davis, Durand-Hollis & Rupe | 414 | 357 | 387 | 1,188 | 168 | 84 | 1,440 | 7 |
| 7 | DeLara Architects/Dabney Group | 359 | 307 | 384 | 1,050 | 168 | 84 | 1,302 | 16 |
| 8 | *Fontera Assoc. International, Inc. | 372 | 282 | 384 | 1,038 | 168 | 21 | 1,227 | 22 |
| 9 | Hesson Andrews Sotomayor | 462 | 302 | 460 | 1,224 | 168 | 0 | 1,392 | 11 |
| 10 | Independent Design | 373 | 279 | 351 | 1,003 | 168 | 84 | 1,255 | 19 |
| 11 | Lloyd Walker Jary & Assoc., Inc. | 453 | 382 | 448 | 1,283 | 168 | 0 | 1,451 | 4 |
| 12 | **Jimenez & Strahan | 353 | 278 | 320 | 951 | 168 | 84 | 1,203 | 27 |
| 13 | Johnson-Dempsey & Assoc., Inc. | 440 | 319 | 416 | 1,175 | 168 | 84 | 1,427 | 9 |
| 14 | JYJ Architects | 430 | 278 | 378 | 1,086 | 168 | 84 | 1,338 | 14 |
| 15 | ***Kinnison & Assoc., Architects | 379 | 306 | 422 | 1,107 | 168 | 0 | 1,275 | 18 |
| 16 | L.K. Travis & Associates | 356 | 283 | 392 | 1,031 | 168 | 17 | 1,216 | 24 |
| 17 | *Mendoza & Assoc., A.I.A. | 401 | 301 | 425 | 1,127 | 168 | 84 | 1,37 9 | 13 |
| 18 | David Lorenzana & Associates | 331 | 268 | 376 | 975 | 168 | 84 | 1,227 | 23 |
| 19 | Mc Call & Associates | 371 | 275 | 392 | 1,038 | 168 | 0 | 1,206 | 25 |

| No. | Architect/Engineer Candidates | | Ratings | | | | 1 (v.) 2 | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | | Firc Department | Public Works | Public Works | Subtotal | +10% Local San Antonio Business/ +4% Bexar County Business | DBE Firm +5% | Total Number of Points | Group Rating |
| 20 | Nored Shearer Architects | 365 | 259 | 328 | 952 | 168 | 84 | 1,204 | 26 |
| 21 | *O'Neill Conrad Oppelt Arch., Inc. | 394 | 396 | 448 | 1,238 | 168 | 27 | 1,433 | 8 |
| 22 | *Overland Partners | 380 | 389 | 387 | 1,156 | 168 | 0 | 1,324 | 15 |
| 23 | Andrew Perez Assoc., Architects | 383 | 384 | 431 | 1,198 | 168 | 84 | 1,450 | 5 |
| 24 | Reyna McChesney Assoc., Architects | 407 | 368 | 415 | 1,190 | 168 | 43 | 1,401 | 10 |
| 25 | Richard Sanchez Architects, Inc. | 399 | 275 | 400 | 1,074 | 168 | 0 | 1,242 | 21 |
| 26 | *Tejas Architects,Inc. | 354 | 283 | 380 | 1,017 | 0 | 84 | 1,101 | 29 |
| 27 | Jerry Theis Associates, Inc. | 354 | 283 | 374 | 1,011 | 168 | 0 | 1,179 | 28 |
| 28 | W3 Architects, Inc. | 414 | 285 | 399 | 1,098 | 168 | 20 | 1,286 | 17 |
| 29 | *3D/International | 454 | 390 | 442 | 1,286 | 168 | 0 | 1,454 | 3 |

Firms which submitted only for Fire Station Nos. 7, 16 Stone Oak and Westover Hills. Jimenez & Strahan submitted only for Stone Oak and Westover Hills.

^{***} Kinnison & Associates, Architects submitted only for New Fire Station No. 7

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D. Section 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.
State "Not Applicable" for questions that do not apply.

*This form is required to be supplemental in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the city in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the code of ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

| (1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract; | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| None. | |
| | |
| | |
| v | |
| | |
| | |
| (2) the identity of any business entity that would be a party to the discretionary contr | act and the name of |
| (a) the results of the party to the distribution of the control of | act and the name of. |
| (A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the dis | scretionary contract. |
| 3D/International, Inc. | Marine Ma |
| 7 - 1 | and the second |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| (B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent or | aubaidiam business antitus of |
| any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract | |
| None. | |
| None. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| (3) the identity of any lobbyist or public relations firm employed for purposes relating | |
| being sought by any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretio | nary contract. |
| None. | |
| | te p |
| | A Company |
| | |
| | |
| | |

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D, Section 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.
State "Not Applicable" for questions that do not apply.

*This form is required to be supplemental in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Political Contributions

To Whom Made:

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city must disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract all political contributions totaling one hundred dollars (\$100) or more within the past twenty-four (24) months made directly or indirectly to any current or former member of City Council, any candidate for City Council, or to any political action committee that contributes to City Council elections, by any individual or business entity whose identity must be disclosed under (1), (2) or (3) above. Indirect contributions by an individual include, but are not limited to, contributions made by the individual's spouse, whether statutory or common-law. Indirect contributions by an entity include, but are not limited to, contributions made through the officers, owners, or registered lobbyists of the entity.

Amount:

Date of Contribution:

| See attached sheet. | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Disclosures in Proposals Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract with the city shall disclose any known facts which, reasonably understood, raise a question as to whether any city official or employee would violate Section 1 of Part B, Improper Economic Benefit, by participating in official action relating to the discretionary contract. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Signature, A | Title: | Date: | | | | | | |
| | Group President | July 24, 2002 | | | | | | |
| Andres Andujar, PE | Company: 3D/International | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

COSA Form 1050-33-2, Discretionary Form.doc, 06/01/01

¹ For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.