CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM NO PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Thomas G. Wendorf, P.E., Director of Public Works

THROUGH: Terry M. Brechtel. City Manager

COPIES:

Melissa Byrne Vossmer; Louis A. Lendman; Milo D. Nitschke; File

SUBJECT:

Navidad from Martin to Salinas

DATE:

January 16, 2003

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This ordinance awards a professional service contract in the amount of \$14,987.00, payable to San Antonio Design Group, Inc., a non-MBE firm, for engineering services, authorizes \$3.300.00 for additional services, and \$1,499.00 for engineering contingency in connection with the Navidad from Martin to Salinas, an authorized Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funded project, located in Council District 1.

Staff recommends approval of this ordinance.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This ordinance provides for compensation to San Antonio Design Group, Inc. for engineering services through the design phase. Additional services will include geotechnical and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) review. The scope for this project includes the reconstruction of Navidad from Martin to Salinas to provide for the design only to reconstruct street with curbs and sidewalks.

POLICY ANALYSIS

Approval of this ordinance will be a continuation of Council policy to complete previously approved CDBG funded Capital Improvement Projects.

FISCAL IMPACT

This is a one-time capital improvement expenditure. Funds in the amount of \$19,786.00 are available from CDBG funds to be allocated and authorized payable as follows:

\$14,987.00	payable to San Antonio Design G	roup, Inc. for engineering
	services	
\$ 3,300.00	payable for additional services	
\$ 1 499 00	payable for engineering continger	ncy de la

12/18/02

COORDINATION

This request for ordinance has been coordinated with the Office of Management and Budget, Finance Department, and the Housing and Community Development Department.

SUPPLEMENTARY COMMENTS

The Discretionary Contracts Disclosure Form required by the Ethics Ordinance is attached.

ATTACHMENTS

I. Project Map

II. San Antonio Design Group, Inc. Letter, dated December 12, 2002

III. Discretionary Contracts Disclosure Form

Thomas G. Wendorf, P.E.

Director of Public Works

Melissa Byrne Vossmer

Assistant City Manager

Approved:

Terry M. Brechtel

City Manager

MORALES WINDERES
IBAZ W SAVIBAZ W
ALES VAS VAS HOUSTON ST
WORALES W SALINAS W HOUS
ANNAL DADIVAN N ST
SARABOARDEN S
Z SAMENTA O VI
DAD-SAILLE
AAOMAZAAZ N Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z



December 12, 2002

Mr. Jim Clements P.E. City of San Antonio Capital Programs 114 W. Commerce San Antonio, Texas 78283

RE: NAVIDAD - MARTIN TO SALINAS

Dear Mr. Clements

San Antonio Design Group Inc. (SADG) is pleased to submit this revised fee proposal to provide engineering services for the above referenced project through the design phase. Attached is a breakdown of the proposed fees and additional services cost. The following is a summary of these costs:

<u>FEE</u>	TOTAL	
\$14,987.00	\$3,300.00	\$18,287.00
		1
The following Production	n Schedule is requested for this project.	
		OK SMC

Phase A 30 calendar days
Phase B 60 calendar days
Design 60 calendar days

Please call if you have any questions.

Saucedo Jr.

Sincerely

J. A. Saucedo Jr.

Principal

Encl: as

NAVIDAD - SALINAS TO W. MARTIN

DECEMBER 11, 2002

		DESIGN	CADD	SURVEY		TOTAL
	PRINCIPAL	ENGINEER	TECHNICIAN	CREW	CLERICAL	HOURS
	\$90.00	\$80.00	\$45.00	\$86.00	\$35.00	
PRELIMINARY PHASE A						
Scope meeting & minutes	2.0	2.0			2.0	6.0
Initial Investigation		4.0	4.0			8.0
Field surveying & Processing		1.0	8.0	16.0		25.0
Preliminary Drainage Study		8.0	8.0			16.0
Preliminary Phase A Report		12.0	24.0		8.0	44.0
Review Meeting & Minutes	2.0	2.0			2.0	6.0
Subtotal of Hours	4.0	29.0	44.0	16.0	12.0	105.0
Subtotal Labor	\$ 360.00	\$ 2,320.00	\$ 1,980.00	\$ 1,376.00	\$ 420.00	\$ 6,456.00
Reproduction - 14 sets		-				\$ 65.00
PRELIMINARY PHASE B						
Intermediate Title Sheet		1.0	3.0			4.0
Intermediate Notes & Quantities		3.0	2.0			5.0
Intermediate Project Layout & Phasing Plan		2.0	4.0			6.0
Intermediate SW3P		1.0	2.0			3.0
Intermediate Plan & Profile		4.0	16.0			20.0
Final Drainage Study		6.0	6.0		4.0	16.0
Drainage Map & SW3P		2.0	4.0			6.0
Intermediate Cost Estimate		2.0	6.0		4.0	12.0
Intermediate Review Meeting	2.0				2.0	4.0
Subtotal of Hours	2.0	21.0	43.0	0.0	10.0	76.0
Subtotal Labor		\$ 1,680.00	\$ 1,935.00	\$ -	\$ 350.00	\$ 4,145.00
Reproduction - 14 sets						\$ 200.00
DESIGN PHASE						
Final Title Sheet			1.0			1.0
Final Notes & Quantities		1.0	4.0			5.0
Final Project Layout & Phasing Plan		1.0	4.0			5.0
Final SW3P			2.0		3.1	2.0
Final Plan & Profile		4.0	4.0			8.0
Final Cost Estimate		4.0			2.0	6.0
Final Review Meeting	2.0		<u> </u>		2.0	4.0
Attend Citizen Meetings	2.0		 			2.0
Prepare Specifications		8.0			8.0	16.0
Stake for Utilities		2.0		6.0	3.0	8.0
				7		
Subtotal of Hours	4.0	20.0	15.0	6.0	12.0	57.0
Subtotal Labor	 	\$ 1,600.00	\$ 675.00	\$ 516.00	\$ 420.00	\$ 3,571.00
Reproduction - 14 sets	 					\$ 550.00
SUBTOTAL FEE						\$ 14,987.00
						,
ADDITIONAL SERVICES						
Geotechnical						\$ 2,750.00
ADA Review						\$ 550.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL SERVICES	<u> </u>					\$ 3,300.00
			1	1		
	I					
TOTAL						\$18,287.00
	1					

Scope Meeting Checklist

Date: 11-19-02			Start Time: 10:00 AM
			Stop Time: 11:15 AM
Project Name: Navidad – Marti Council District: 1	n to Salinas		
Attendees:			
City of San Antonio Public Works	s Department	COSA Environmenta	al Services Department:
Capital Programs:		COSA Development	Services Department:
Jim Clements, P.E. Al Siam Ferdous, P.E. Richard Grochowski, E.I.T.		COSA Planning Dep	partment:
Hugh Guillen		Consultant: San Ar	ntonio Design Group Inc.
		Representative: Notes recorded by:	Stephen Cady, P.E. J. A. Saucedo Jr.
Traffic Engineering:		Utility Representativ	<u>ves:</u>
		John Otter Duane Bryant P.E. Ray Akridge Victor DeLeón	CPS SAWS SBC Time Warner
Engineering:			
Storm Water Engineering:			
Storm Water Operations:			
Street Maintenance:			

PROJECT ADMINISTRATION		
Initial Project Scope: Reconstruct N. Navidad S with pavement width to match a reconstructe pavement at Navidad.		
COSA Project Manager: Jim Clements, P.E.		
Capital Projects Officer: Tim White		
COSA Project Engineer: Al-Siam Ferdous, P.E.		
COSA Engineering Associate: Richard Grochows	ski, E.I.T.	
Estimated Cost: \$109,679.27 Date of Estima	ate:	
Length of Project: 407.00 Feet		
PROGRAMMING AND FUNDING		
Program Funding:	Type: CDBG	Year: 28th
Tentative Advertising date:		
I. Project Name: Navidad – Salinas to Martin		
A. Remind consultant to take meeting not	es	
B. Construction plan sheets shall have project phasing as needed to meet pro		ies per sheet to facilitate
EXISTING ELEMENTS		
II. Project Scope/ Limits	:	
A. Existing Conditions		
1. Existing width of pavement (expected	d width of street cross-sec	tion) APPROX. 28 FEET
2. Curb/gutter/sidewalks present?	NO	
3. Existing features (trees, landmarks, s	chools, etc.) 6 Trees, Chu	ırch at W. Martin.
4. Potential hazardsNone		
5. Predominant land use: Residentia	al	

a. Neighborhood Association _____

b. Community Group

6. Special Interest Groups:

III.	Identify Co	DSA	Requirements	and S	pecific	Pro	ject	Concerns
------	-------------	-----	--------------	-------	---------	-----	------	----------

	Α.	Drainage	(handout fr	om Storm	Water	Engineering	1
--	----	----------	-------------	----------	-------	-------------	---

- 1. Is a drainage study required? Yes
- 2. Hydraulic calculations based on: 25 Year Storm
- 3. Comments: design exception may be granted for street design without drain pipe

B. Traffic (handout from Traffic)

- 1. Traffic Control Plan for construction: Not Needed
- 2. Bike lanes or other special considerations: No
- 3. Pavement Design
 - a. Number of traffic lanes: 1 each direction
 - b. Lane width: 15'
 - c. Median width:
 - d. Traffic count:
- 4. Comments: Mr. Clements requested that Beso Alley be made one way heading towards Navidad. Also, City said to include one soil boring near Beso Alley. The City will provide the pavement design based on results of the soils report.

C. Environmental (Provide ESA)

1. Comments: ESA provided. No further environmental action is warranted for this project.

D. Tree Protection (Pass out tree specifications and ordinance)

Required Tree SurveyNo		
2. Tree mitigation/preservation	No	
E. Enhancements: N/A		

F. ADA Requirements

- Texas Department of License and Regulation(Provide TDLR list if needed)
 - a. Consultant to provide for plan review by a TDLR certified plan reviewer additional services

		services	
	G.	ROW Data	
		Comments: R.O.W is 55.6' wide. Additional R.O.W. will not be requ	uired.
	Н.	Storm Water Pollution Protection Plan: Not Discussed	
	١.	Driveway Plats (additional service): Not Discussed	
	J.	Construction Services	
		Emphasize working smart using technology	
		2. Emphasize constructability of project	· / .
IV.		ility Adjustments - (Upgraded / Adjusted - Depth of Excavation)	
	A.	City Public Service – Gas An 8" Gas main on east side of road.	
		City Public Service – Overhead Electric	
	C.	San Antonio Water System - Water A 6' water main on west side	e of road
	D.	San Antonio Water System – Wastewater A 8" Sanitary Sewer m	nain up the center of the
	ro	ad, that ends before Martin St. No manhole at the end	
	E.	Southwestern Bell _All overhead. Is checking on an buried cable	e in the area
	F.	Time-Warner : All Overhead	
	G.	Other	
	Н.	Railroad Permit N/A	<u>,</u>
	ı.	San Antonio River Authority Joint Use Agreement	
	J.	Potholes by Phase B : Not Discussed	
٧.	m	aintenance Bond – one year warranty period at the end the consul ake and inspection of the Project	
	A.	Meeting minutes due in five working days	
		Cost proposal due in 15 working days with proposed production	

b. Consultant to provide for construction inspection by TDLR certified inspector additional

VI. Approvals

- A. Consultant required to obtain all approvals evidenced by approval signatures on the plan cover sheet.
- B. Executive Project Management System (EPMS) Consultant required to provide regular realistic updates.
- C. Possible electronic requirement of as-built plans (required format?) AUTOCAD
- D. Utilities and City Engineer signature blocks on the plan cover sheet.
- VII. Subsequent to the November 19, 2002 scoping meeting, J. A. Saucedo Jr., and Steve Cady Met with Mr. Jim Clements, on November 25th, the following was agreed to:
 - A. Pavement width will be 30'
 - B. Minimum slope will be 0.30% with curb and gutter.
 - C. Driveway slope will be 12% where sidewalks does not cross
 - D. Sidewalks will be at the property line.

Attachments (For Consultant Only)

- 1. Drainage Issues
- 2. Traffic Issues
- 3. Location Map
- 4. EPMS Sample
- 5. Tree Ordinance / Specifications
- 6. Phase I Environmental

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of Sen 3 in 2 No Stricts Code, Part D. Sections 192. Attach additional sheets if spices crovided is not sufficient. State*Not Apolicable* for goestions that do not apply.

* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below. Sefore the discretionary contract is the subject of council advant, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract: (1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract: N/A (2) the identity of any business entity! that would be a party to the discretionary contract: 1/4 and the name of: (A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary contract; NA and the name of: (B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent or subsidiary business entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract; N/A

¹ A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint stock company, recoivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other actity recognized by law.

Gity of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City or sen Arronio Ethics Code, Part D. Sections 1&2 Attach additional sheets til some provided is not sufficient. State "Not Applicable" for clossions to at opinot apply.

*This form is required to be supplemented before the discretionary contract is the subjudiant which information is required to be fill	fest of council solion, and no later than	re information under (1), (2), or (3) below, n live (5) business days after any change
(3) the identity of any lobbyist or discretionary contract being sparty to the discretionary contr	sought by any individual or b	red for purposes relating to the pusiness entity who would be a
N/A		
Any individual or business entity a connection with a proposal for a hundred dollars (\$100) or more indirectly to any current or former any political action committee the business entity whose identity contributions by an individual in individual's spouse, whether stainclude, but are not limited to, or registered loobyists of the entity.	a discretionary contract all positive within the past twenty-four member of City Council, any at contributes to City Council must be disclosed under the model, but are not limited atutory or common-law. Independent	olitical contributions totaling one (24) months made directly or candidate for City Gouncil, or to il elections, by any individual or (1), (2) or (3) above. Indirect to, contributions made by the lirect contributions by an entity
To Whom Made:	:vanounr:	Date of Contribution
	and the second s	A 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

Disclosures in Proposals

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract with the city shall disclose any known facts which, reasonably understood, raise a question² as to whether any city official or employee would violate Section 1 of Part B, Improper Economic Benefit, by participating in official action relating to the discretionary contract.

⁷ For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.