# CITY OF SAN ANTONIO CONSENT AGENDA INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Thomas G. Wendorf, P.E., Director of Public Works

THROUGH: Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

**COPIES:** 

Melissa Byrne Vossmer; Andrew Martin; Louis A. Lendman; Milo D.

Nitschke; File

SUBJECT:

Fleming from New Laredo Hwy to Gerald

DATE:

May 1, 2003

#### **SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

This ordinance amends a professional service contract and authorizes an additional \$4,300.00 from Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds payable to Cady & Associates, a non-MBE firm, for engineering services, \$850.00 for engineering contingency, and \$900.00 for advertising expenses for a total of \$6,050.00 in connection with the Fleming from New Laredo Hwy to Gerald project located in Council District 4.

Staff recommends approval of this ordinance.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

This ordinance requests additional compensation to Cady & Associates for professional engineering services for bid preparation, construction administration, ADA review, driveway plats and record drawings associated with the Fleming from New Laredo Hwy to Gerald project. These services were not included in the original contract because the project was previously funded for design only. The Fleming from New Laredo Hwy to Gerald project includes the reconstruction and widening to a typical 30-foot, two lane street section. The project also includes sidewalks, curbs, driveway approaches, and underground drainage. This project is currently under the design phase and was advertised in April 2003. It is scheduled to begin construction in July 2003, and to be completed by February 2004.

This professional services contract was originally approved by City Ordinance No. 95861 on June 6, 2002 and initially authorized \$17,440.00 for services related to this project. This City Council action will increase the total, to date, authorized for this professional service contract to \$21,740.00. The contingency account originally authorized \$1,744.00 for this project. This Council action will increase the total amount to \$2,594.00.

#### **POLICY ANALYSIS**

Approval of this ordinance will be a continuation of City Council policy to complete previously approved Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funded Capital Improvement Projects.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

This is a one-time capital improvement expenditure within budget and included in the FY03-08 Capital Improvement Program Budget. Funds in the amount of \$6,050.00 are available from Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds to be authorized payable as follows:

\$ 4	4,300.00	payable to Cady & Associates, for engineering services	
\$	850.00	payable for miscellaneous engineering	contingency
\$	900.00	payable for advertising expenses	

This item represents a portion of a total estimated City project cost of \$212,762.00.

#### **COORDINATION**

This request for ordinance has been coordinated with the Office of Management and Budget, the Finance Department, and the Housing and Community Development Department.

E. 4/18/03

#### SUPPLEMENTARY COMMENTS

The Discretionary Contracts Disclosure Form required by the Ethics Ordinance is attached.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Project Map
- 2. Proposal

3. Discretionary Contracts Disclosure Form

Thomas G. Wendorf, P.E.

Director of Public Works

Melissa Byrne Vossmer

Assistant City Manager

Approved:

Terry M. Brechtel

City Manager



# **CADY & ASSOCIATES**

**CIVIL ENGINEERS** 

February 27, 2003

Samuel Hutchins IV, P.E. Project Manager City of San Antonio P.O. Box 839966 San Antonio TX 78283-3966

Ref: Gerald - New Laredo to Fleming

Fleming – New Laredo to Gerald Lovett – Fleming to Oregon

Dear Mr. Hutchins:

The revised fee proposals (lump sum) for the Bid and Construction Phases of the referenced projects are shown below:

Gerald Avenue – New Laredo to Flem Base Fee	ing Additional Services		
Bid Phase       \$ 800.00         Construction Phase       \$ 2,300.00         Total       \$ 3.100.00	ADA Inspection as built mylars Total	\$ \$	150.00 150.00 300.00
Fleming Street - New Laredo to Gera Base Fee	ld Additional Services		
Bid Phase       \$ 1,000.00         Construction Phase       \$ 3,000.00         Total       \$ 4,000.00	ADA Inspection as built mylars Total	\$ <del>\$</del> \$	150.00 150.00 300.00
Lovett - Fleming to Oregon Base Fee	Additional Services		
Bid Phase \$ 1,800.00 Construction Phase \$ 5,400.00 Total \$ 7,200.00	ADA Inspection as built mylars Total	\$ \$ \$	150.00 150.00 300.00

If you have any questions, please call.

Sincerely,

## City of San Antonio **Discretionary Contracts Disclosure\***

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D, Sections 1&2 Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient. State"Not Applicable" for questions that do not apply.

\* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

### Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the city in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the code of ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract;

Stephen A.	Cady				
2) the ide	rhity of any b	Lisin <b>ess e</b> ntil	y that would be a	e party to the	discretionary contract
nd the i	name of				
(A) any	individual or Irac	business ent	ty that would be a	i subcontraci	or on the discretionary
(2) Cady	& Associates		and the discussion of the disc	ad the tie out the state, ended	Andree Commence of the second section and second
(a) Ba	in Medina Bair	ı, Inc.	San Antonio D	esign Group	
Dus	ness entity o retionary contr	of any individ	ual or business e	ntity who we	r a parent of subsidiar) uld be a party to the
None					
) ine idei	ntity of any <i>lot</i>	obylst or ould	ic relations firm en	iøleyed før p	urposes relating to the
TO NO.	the discretion	ly/colltrele	. by any individual	or Dusiness	entily who would be a
None	•				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

#### **Political Contributions**

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city must disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract all political contributions totaling one hundred dollars (\$100) or more within the past twenty-four (24) months made directly or indirectly to any current or former member of City Council; any candidate for City Council, or to any political action committee that contributes to City Council elections, by any individual or business entity whose identity must be disclosed under (1), (2) or (3) above indirect contributions by an individual include, but are not limited to, contributions made by the individual's epouse. Whether statutory or common-law indirect contributions by an entity include, but are not limited to contributions made through the officers, owners, attorneys; or registered lobbyists of the entity

To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
None		

#### Disclosures in Proposals

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract with the city shall disclose any known facts which, reasonably understood, raise a question as to whether any city official or employee would violate Section 1 of Part B, improper Economic Benefit; by participating in official action relating to the discretionary contract.

Signature;	Title:		Date:
Stephen A. Cady P.E.	Company: Ca	ady & Associates	Feb. 26, 2003

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.