CONSENT AGENDA ITEM NO. JZ

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM EXTERNAL RELATIONS DEPARTMENT

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Jim Campbell, External Relations Director

THROUGH:

Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

COPIES:

Veronica Zertuche, City Attorney's Office; Lou Lendman, Office of

Management & Budget; Judy Babbitt, Planning; File

SUBJECT:

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR CLOSED

CAPTIONING SERVICES

DATE:

May 22, 2003

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

This ordinance authorizes a second one-year extension of the existing contract with Texas Closed Captioning to provide Closed Captioning Services to the City of San Antonio. This extension will be effective June 6, 2003. Ordinance No. 93919, which was passed on May 10, 2001, approved the original contract with Texas Closed Captioning, and Ordinance No. 95798 which was passed on May 23, 2002, approved the first one-year extension. Staff recommends approval of this ordinance.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

This agreement will continue to provide the City of San Antonio with closed captioning services for televised City Council meetings. These services will be used on programs carried on the cable government access channel. There are approximately eighty-three people per one thousand with hearing impairments. An evaluation of the vendor was conducted in March 2002 by City staff, with the vendor present. The evaluation provided valuable information that will continue to improve the quality and the process. An informal survey conducted in March 2002, by the Public Information Division, indicated there is a continued need for the service. The Planning department reports that the vendor has a higher rating for accuracy than is standard and has not received any complaints since the service was implemented. The standard for live captioning is 95% accuracy, which equates to 10 errors per minute at an average speaking rate of 200 words per minute. The current vendor holds itself to 99% accuracy, which averages to two errors per minute. All of the vendor's closed captioners are Certified Realtime Reporters. The performance period for this agreement is one year with an option to extend for one additional one-year term. The anticipated start date is June 6, 2003.

POLICY ANALYSIS:

The Federal Americans with Disability Act of 1990 mandates municipalities ensure effective communication to persons with disabilities. Section 35.160(b)(2) specifically relates to television programming produced by public entities to make programming accessible to persons with hearing impairments. In the San Antonio area there are approximately 100,000 people with hearing impairments.

FISCAL IMPACT

The estimated cost of this agreement is \$38,000.00. Funding will be from the General Fund. Funds have been approved and are available in the FY 02-03 Budget.

COORDINATION

This ordinance was coordinated with the Office of Management & Budget; the City Attorney's Office and the Planning Department.

Jim Campbell, Director

External Relations

Reviewed and Approved by:

Terry M. Brechtel

City Manager

FAX NO. : 5124800225

Mar. 25 2003 06:42PM P2 PAGE 32/83

03/21/2003 03:24

216-207-7297

CITY OF SA - PIO

City of San Antonio

Discretionary Contracts Disclosure
For use of this form, see City of Son Antonio Ethics Code, Part D., Section 122
Antonio additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the city in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the code of ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city is required to disclose in

connection with a proposal for a discretionary contra	ct:	
1) the identity of any individual who would be a par	rty to the discretic	onary contract
Terry 5. M'Gint		
•		
the identity of any larsiness entity that would be a	a party to the disc	Tetionary contract: and the name of
(A) any individual or business entity that we	nncodus a subconn	
Whitney Alden Polly Fife Cordie Brickne	0	
(B) any individual or business entity that is know any individual or business entity who would be a	no to be a partner.	, or a parent or subsidiary business entity, of retionary contract;
n/a-		
the identity of any <u>lobbyist</u> or public relations firming sought by any individual or business entity who	n employed for pu would be a party	urposes relating to the discretionary contract
/	,	
na		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	
olitical Contributions my individual or business entity seeking a discretion opesal for a discretionary contract all political cont past twenty-four (24) months made directly or in tion committee that contributes to City Council ele	ributions totaling idirectly to any n ections by any is	3 one hundred dollars (\$100) or more within member of City Council, or to any political individual or huniness explanations (44 miles)
nust be disclosed under (1), (2) or (3) above. Indirect nuributions made by the individual's spouse, when tity include, but are not limited to, contributions matrix.	contributions by a	an individual include, but are not limited to
Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
n/a		
n/a		Date of Contrib

COSA Form 1050-33-2, disc disclosure, 08/25/99

FAX NO. : 5124800225

Mar. 25 2003 06:43PM P3

03/21/2003 09:24

210-207-7237

CITY OF SA - PIO

PAGE 33/83

City of San Antonio

Discretionary Contracts Disclosure
For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D., Saction 1&1
Acade additional thesis if space provided is not sufficient

Disclosures in Proposals

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract with the city shall disclose any known facts which, ressonably understood, raise a question as to whether any city official would violate Section 1 of Part B by participating in official action relating to the discretionary contract.

100			************	~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ 			
	,						
	Ma			See year			
		4					
	Signature:	/	Title:	owner		Date:	
	1////		Compan	owner v: Texas sed Capi		3/2/103	
/	1/////	7	Clo	sed Capi	Sonn		
	7 1 0 0 1)			0		
		,					

¹ For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.