CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Malcolm Matthews, Director, Parks and Recreation Department

THROUGH: Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

COPIES: Melissa B. Vossmer; Finance; Management and Budget; Legal; File

SUBJECT: Ordinance Approving Admission Price Increases as Requested by the Witte

Museum

DATE: October 9, 2003

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This ordinance authorizes the Witte Museum in City Council District 9 to establish new General Admission Fees.

Staff recommends approval of this ordinance.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

As per the authority granted in its Lease with Operating Standards Agreement with the City of San Antonio, San Antonio Museum Association D/B/A the Witte Museum operates and maintains the museum and other activities incidental to a museum. Ordinance 86520, passed and approved on August 28, 1997, authorized the current agreement, which was amended through Ordinance 97698 on May 29, 2003 to include Pioneer Hall. The Witte Museum has requested to increase General Admission Fees and this requires City Council approval.

The Witte Museum experiences approximately 200,000 visitors per year, with 60-70% being from San Antonio and 30-40% from outside of Bexar County. Over 70,000 local school children visited the museum last school year at a reduced rate of \$2.00 per child and the museum anticipates the same rate will continue. This reduced student rate, which is allowable under the contract as a Special Admission Fee, helps the museum's effort to make the Witte Museum available to students and it does not require City Council approval.

The current and proposed General Admission Fees are as follows:

	Current	Proposed
Adult (12-64)	\$5.95	\$7.00
Senior (65+)	\$4.95	\$6.00
Child (4-11)	\$3.95	\$5.00
Below age 4	Free	Free

Witte Admission Fees October 9, 2003 Page 2

The current admission rates are actually \$0.05 lower than approved in the August 1997 agreement and this is the museum's first request to increase the fees. The Witte Museum is requesting to increase admission fees as a result of expanding operating costs of the museum complex.

POLICY ANALYSIS

This action is consistent with the Lease Agreement approved by City Council through Ordinance 86520 on August 28, 1997.

FISCAL IMPACT

Under the terms of the agreement, the City receives \$100.00 per year as rental payment and the museum retains all revenue from admissions and concessions. The proposed rate increase will result in approximately \$48,000.00 in additional revenue for the Witte Museum. There will not be an impact on the General Fund as a result of the admission price increase.

COORDINATION

This ordinance was coordinated with the City Attorney's Office.

SUPPLEMENTARY COMMENTS

A Discretionary Contracts Disclosure Form from the Witte Museum is attached.

Malcolm Matthews,

Director of Parks and Recreation

Melissa B. Vosamer, Assistant City Manager

Approved:

Terry M. Brechtel City Manager

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ehics Cade, Part D, Sections 182 Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient, State Not Applicable" for questions that do not apply.

* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
N/A
(2) the identity of any business entity that would be a party to the discretionary contract
N/A
and the name of: (A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary
contract:
and the name of:
(B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent or subsidiary business entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract.
NA

¹ A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

(3) the identity of any lobbyist or plus discretionary contract being sou party to the discretionary contract	ght by any individual of t	yed for purposes relating to the pusiness entity who would be a
NIA		
Political Contributions Any individual or business entity seconnection with a proposal for a dinundred dollars (\$100) or more windirectly to any current or former many political action committee that business entity whose identity microntributions by an individual inclindividual's spouse, whether staturinclude, but are not limited to, confinedistered lobbyists of the entity.	iscretionary contract all position, the past twenty-foul ember of City Council, any contributes to City Council, any ust be disclosed under lude, but are not limited tory or common-law. Individual incommon through the positions made through the contributions of th	r (24) months made directly or candidate for City Council, or to ill elections, by any individual or (1), (2) or (3) above indirect to contributions made by the direct contributions by an entity ne officers, owners, attorneys, or
To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
Disclosures in Proposals Any individual or business entity any known facts which, reasonably or employee would violate Section official action relating to the discret	1 of Part E, Improper Eco	[OI] SS TO WING THE COLD OF STATE OF ST
,		
Signature:	Company: Wife Man	Date: 4/Jo/03

For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.