

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO
OFFICE OF THE CITY COUNCIL
INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE SHEET

AGENDA ITEM NO. _____

80
RECEIVED
CITY OF SAN ANTONIO
CITY CLERK

2003 JUL 23 PM 12: 0

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Joel Williams, Councilmember, District 2


COPIES TO: City Manager; Interim City Clerk; City Attorney;
Assistant to City Council; Management & Budget; Finance

SUBJECT: City Council Agenda – August 7, 2003

DATE: July 14, 2003

Your concurrence is requested in directing staff to place an item on the City Council Agenda directing the release of \$500.00 from the District 2 Contingency Account payable to the Freedom Foundation, Inc. Monies will be used to help defray the cost of a feasibility study of MLK Park to help find ways to promote growth and economic development in this area.

Staff has reviewed this request and concurs with this action.


JOEL WILLIAMS
DISTRICT 2


ED GARZA, MAYOR

ENRIQUE BARRERA, DISTRICT 6



ROGER O. FLORES, DISTRICT 1

JULIAN CASTRO, DISTRICT 7



RON H. SEGOVIA, DISTRICT 3



ART A. HALL, DISTRICT 8



RICHARD PEREZ, DISTRICT 4

CARROLL SCHUBERT, DISTRICT 9



PATTI RADLE, DISTRICT 5

CHIP HAASS, DISTRICT 10

REQUEST FOR
CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT EXPENDITURES
DISTRICT 2

It is important that Council Items requiring the use of district contingency funds not be circulated until the following information is obtained:

1. Name of Organization: **Freedom Foundation, Inc.**
Address: **2926 Lakeland, San Antonio, Texas; 78222**
Phone: **(210) 648-0603**
2. Tax Exempt # (if applicable) **74-2983021**
3. (a) Amount of Request: \$ **500.00** (not less than \$100.00)
(b) What other sources of funding does organization have? **Foundations, Corporations, and private individuals**
4. Purpose of Request (state exactly how funds are to be used, and the public benefit anticipated):

To defray the cost of the feasibility study. The Minority Business Development Center at UTSA has been commissioned to conduct the study.

5. Contact person: **Otis Thompson, Founder and President**
Address: **2926 Lakeland; San Antonio, Texas; 78222**
Phone: **(210) 648-0603**
6. Time Frame/Calendar
Date of Event: **N/A**
7. Copy of separate written request attached? Yes _____ No **X**
8. Does the organization receive other city funds? Yes _____ No **X**
9. Is the city co-sponsoring the particular event? Yes _____ No **X**
10. (a) Comments by the City Attorney's Office
Expenditure will support revitalization
of this area of the community.

(b) Comments from the Department Director

Unzicker
Asst City Attorney

This form should be completed and attached to six-signature memorandum

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D, Sections 182
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient
State "Not Applicable" for questions that do not apply.

* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the city in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the code of ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract;

N/A

(2) the identity of any business entity¹ that would be a party to the discretionary contract:
N/A
and the name of:

(A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary contract;

N/A

(B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent or subsidiary business entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract.

N/A

(3) the identity of any lobbyist or public relations firm employed for purposes relating to the discretionary contract being sought by any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract.

N/A

¹ A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.


Political Contributions

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city must disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract all political contributions totaling one hundred dollars (\$100) or more within the past twenty-four (24) months made directly or indirectly to any *current or former member* of City Council, any *candidate* for City Council, or to any *political action committee* that contributes to City Council elections, by any individual or business entity whose identity must be disclosed under (1), (2) or (3) above. Indirect contributions by an individual include, but are not limited to, contributions made by the individual's spouse, whether statutory or common-law. Indirect contributions by an entity include, but are not limited to, contributions made through the officers, owners, attorneys, or registered lobbyists of the entity.

To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
N/A		

Disclosures in Proposals

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract with the city shall disclose any known facts which, reasonably understood, raise a question² as to whether any city official or employee would violate Section 1 of Part B, Improper Economic Benefit, by participating in official action relating to the discretionary contract.

N/A		
Signature: 	Title: Founder and President Company: Partners with Martin Luther King, Jr. Freedom Foundation	Date: 6-27-03

² For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.