

# CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM FINANCE DEPARTMENT

**TO:** Mayor and City Council

**FROM:** Milo D. Nitschke, Director, Finance Department

**THROUGH:** Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

**COPIES:** Melissa Byrne Vossmer, Assistant City Manager; City Attorney's Office; City

Clerk; File

**SUBJECT:** Financial Advisor Services Contract

**DATE:** September 25,2003

#### **SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

This Ordinance authorizes the renewal and extension of the Financial Advisor Services Contract with Coastal Securities, to provide financial advisor services in connection with the City's financings for a one (1) year period commencing October 1, 2003 and terminating on September 30,2004, under the same terms and conditions.

Staff recommends approval of this Ordinance.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Coastal Securities was selected to provide financial advisory services for a period of three (3) years commencing on October 1, 1999 and ending on September 30,2002. On August 29,2002, the City Council approved the first of two (2) one (1) year renewal options provided for in the Financial Advisor Services Contract with Coastal Securities for the period commencing October 1, 2002 and terminating on September 30, 2003, under the same terms and conditions. This action will exercise the second and final one (1) year renewal option provided for in the existing Financial Advisor Services Contract for the period commencing October 1, 2003 and terminating on September 30,2004, under the same terms and conditions. The contract provides that Coastal Securities will subcontract thirty-five percent (35%) of the professional services and fees, excluding any computer modeling and structuring fees to Estrada Hinojosa & Company, Inc., a qualified SBEDA firm.

On July 9, 1999, a Request for Proposal (RFP) was mailed to twenty-two firms and on August 9, 1999, five firms submitted proposals in response. The firms submitting proposals were: Coastal Securities; Dain Rauscher; Estrada Hinojosa & Company; First Southwest Company; and Southwest Securities.

A selection committee comprised of representatives from the City Manager's Office and the Departments of Finance, Police, Fire, Economic Development, Public Works, Parks and Recreation, and Office of Management and Budget evaluated and scored the firms based on the following criteria: financial advisor qualifications; firm's financial advisor experience; experience of personnel to be assigned to the account; technical and quantitative proficiency; local presence; SBEDA goals; and cost of services.

The selection committee selected and recommended Coastal Securities to provide Financial Advisor Services in connection with the City's financings based on their evaluation. In addition, Coastal Securities was directed to subcontract 35% of the professional services and fees, excluding any computer modeling and structuring fees to Estrada Hinojosa & Company, Inc.

#### **POLICY ANALYSIS**

This action will exercise the second of two (2) one (1) year renewal options provided for in the existing Financial Advisor Services Contract with Coastal Securities for the period commencing October 1,2003 and terminating on September 30,2004, under the same terms and conditions.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

Fees for Financial Advisor Services pertaining to the issuance of debt obligations are paid from the proceeds derived from the issuance of such obligations. Therefore, there is no impact on the City's Operating Budget.

The fee schedule for General Obligation Bonds and Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation (tax-exempt and taxable) and Revenue Bonds is based on the fee schedule as shown below.

#### <u>General Obligation Bonds and Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation</u> <u>{tax-exempt and taxable}</u>

Amount	Gross Fee		
\$0 to \$15.000.000	\$1.10 per \$1.000		
\$15,000,001 to \$30,000,000	\$16,500 plus \$0.90 per \$1,000 over \$15,000,000		
\$30,000,001 to \$45,000,000	\$30,000 plus \$0.70 per \$1,000 over \$30,000,000		
\$45,000,00 1 and over	\$40,500 plus \$0.50 per \$1,000 over \$45,000,000		

#### **Revenue Bonds**

Amount	Gross Fee
Minimum Fee	\$22,500
\$0 to \$25,000,000	\$22,500 plus \$0.75 per \$1,000 over \$10,000,000
\$25,000,001 and over	\$33,750 plus \$0.60 per \$1,000 over \$25,000,000

The complete fee schedule for all various types of financings is included as ATTACHMENT I.

In the event the City requests additional services not specifically provided for in the Contract, the City shall negotiate the fee prior to the engagement or performance of any such additional work, subject to City Council approval.

#### SUPPLEMENT L. C.) MMEN'TS

The disclosures required by the City's Ethics Ordinance, Good Faith Effort Plan (SBEDA Form 117C), and Litigation Disclosure Form are attached.

#### **COORDINATION**

This action was coordinated with the City Attorney's Office.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Milo D. Nitschke

Director, Finance Department

Approved:

Melissa Byrne Vossmer

Assistant City Manager

Terry M. Brechtel City Manager

#### ATTACHMENT I

#### **Financial Advisor Fee Schedule**

### General Obligation Bonds and Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation (tax-exempt and taxable)

- 1. Tax-exempt general obligation bonds and combination tax and revenue certificates of obligation shall be considered as one issue so long as one official statement is utilized for both issues.
- 2. Taxable general obligation bonds and combination tax and revenue certificates of obligation shall be considered as one issue so long as one official statement is utilized for both issues.
- 3. Tax-exempt and taxable obligations, whether sold on the same date or separately, shall be considered separate issues.
- 4. Combination new money and refunding general obligation bonds shall be considered as one issue and the fee shall be based on 125% of the fee schedule set forth below. New money tax-exempt or taxable certificates of obligation sold at the same date as the new money and refunding bonds shall be considered as a separate issue.
- 5. The fee schedule for refundings, to include current refundings, advance refundings, forward refundings, and SWAP refundings shall be computed at the fee schedule set forth below and multiplied by 125%.
- 6. The fee schedule for the implementation of a tax-exempt commercial paper ("TECP") program shall be computed based on the maximum TECP authorization based on the fee schedule set forth below. 50% of the fee due shall be paid at date of delivery of the first traunche of tax-exempt commercial paper and remaining amount shall be paid at the date of delivery of 50% or higher of the Tax-Exempt Commercial Paper authorized amount.
- 7. The fee schedule for general obligation bonds and combination tax and revenue certificates of obligation (tax-exempt and taxable) is set forth below.

Amount	Gross Fee	
Minimum Fee	\$15,000	
\$0 to \$15,000,000	\$1.10 per \$1,000	
\$15,000,001 to \$30,000,000	\$16,500 plus \$0.90 per \$1,000 over \$15,000,000	
\$30,000,001 to \$45,000,000	\$30,000 plus \$0.70 per \$1,000 over \$30,000,000	
\$45.000.001 and over	\$45.500 plus \$0.50 per \$1.000 over \$45.000.000	
Maximum fee	\$125.000	

#### **Revenue Bonds**

- 1. Financial Advisor fees due for the successful design, sale and delivery of revenue bonds shall be calculated based on the fee schedule provided below.
- 2. The fee schedule for refundings, to include current refundings, advance refundings, forward refundings, and SWAP refundings shall be computed at the fee schedule set forth below and multiplied by 125%.
- 3. The fee schedule for revenue obligations is set forth below.

Amount Gross Fee	
Minimum Fee	\$22,500
\$0 to \$25,000,000	\$22,500 plus \$0.75 per \$1,000 over \$10,000,000
\$25,000,001 and over	\$33,750 plus \$0.60 per \$1,000 over \$25,000,000

#### **Computer Fees**

- 1. The Firm shall be responsible for technical, quantitative analysis. Any Computer fees due shall be paid solely to the Firm and shall not be split with the Subcontracting Firm. The computer fee schedule is set forth below.
  - a. No computer fee shall be due for the normal computations needed to sell and deliver obligations.
  - b. The computer fee due for computer work completed for the successful sale and delivery of tax-exempt or taxable obligations shall be as follows:
    - 1. Normal refunding analysis and final computations shall be limited to \$5,000.
    - 2. Complex refunding analysis and final computations shall be limited to \$15,000.
    - 3. Computer models developed for new money issues which quantify concepts, develop alternative financing decision making models, incorporate the various tax law parameters, perform "what if" and "sensitivity" analysis shall be negotiable with a fee not to exceed \$25,000.

### Conduit Financing (to include economic development, higher education, health care and other conduit issues)

- 1. The consent fee for due diligence work normally performed for the City's consent to allow another issuing authority to issue the bonds shall be \$4,000.
- 2. The fee due for a City conduit issuance shall be negotiable and the fee due based upon the computer work required, design and structuring analyses performed, "what if" and "sensitivity" analysis, review of documents, and other work related to the issue.

- 3. Involvement and remuneration of 30% to the Subcontracting Firm shall be negotiated with the Firm, Subcontracting Firm and the City for each conduit consent or bond issuance.
- 4. For certain conduit consent or bond issuance, financial advisor work may not be required and the Firm shall be informed that no Financial Advisor work shall be required and no fee shall be due.

#### **Greater Kelly Development Corporation**

1. The fee due for financial analyses, design, debt structuring, computer work, computer modeling and other services normally provided by a financial advisor shall be negotiated with the Firm prior to the commencement of such financial advisor work.

#### **Tax Increment Financing**

1. The fee due for financial analyses, design, debt structuring, computer work, computer modeling and other services normally provided by a financial advisor shall be negotiated with the Firm prior to the commencement of such financial advisor work.

#### Other Financial Advisor Work

1. The fee due for financial analyses, design, debt structuring, computer work, computer modeling and other services normally provided by a financial advisor shall be negotiated with the Firm prior to the commencement of such financial advisor work.

## City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure\*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D, Sections 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.
State "NotApplicable" for questions that do not apply.

\* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1),(2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

#### Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
NE
(2) the identity of any business entity that would be a party to the discretionary contract:
Coastal Securities
and the name of:
(A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary contract;
Estrada Hinojosa & Co.
and the name of:
(B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a <i>partner</i> , or a <i>parent</i> or <i>subsidiary</i> business entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract;
NONE
10000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A business entity means a *sole* proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

(3) the identity of any <i>lobbyist</i> or discretionary contract being soug discretionary contract.		oyed for purposes relating to the ss entity who would be a party to the
NONE		
Political Contributions Any individual or business entity connection with a proposal for a dis dollars (\$100) or more within the pas or former member of City Council, at that contributes to City Council elect disclosed under (1), (2) or (3) above. to, contributions made by the indicontributions by an entity include, owners, attorneys, or registered lobby	t twenty-four (24) months made ny candidate for City Council, tions, by any individual or bus Indirect contributions by an in dividual's spouse, whether st but are not limited to, contri	I contributions totaling one hundred e directly or indirectly to any current or to any political action committee siness entity whose identity must be adividual include, but are not limited atutory or common-law. Indirect
To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
Disclosures in Proposals Any individual or business entity seefacts which, reasonably understood, reviolate Section 1 of Part B, Improper discretionary contract.	raise a question <sup>2</sup> as to whether Economic Benefit, by participa	any city official or employee would
NONE		
Signature:	Company:	Date: Sypt 17, 2003

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes **of** this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.

#### CITY OF SAN ANTONIO LITIGATION DISCLOSURE

Failure to fully and truthfully disclose the information required by this Litigation Disclosure form may result in the disqualification of your proposal from consideration or termination of the contract, once awarded.

ter	mination of the contra-	ct, once awarded.		
1. Have you or any member of your Firm or Team to be assigned to this indicted or convicted of a felony or misdemeanor greater than a Classyears?			0 0	
	Circle One	YES	NO	
2.	Have you or any mem from any work being p Local Government, or l	performed for the City	eam been terminated (foof San Antonio or any	
	Circle One	YES	NO	
3.	Have you or any members the City of San Antonia during the last ten (10)	o or any other Federal,	n been involved in any o State or Local Governi	<u>o</u>
	Circle One	YES	NO	
the	you have answered "Ye person(s), the nature nviction, termination, covided on a separate page	, and the status and/elaim or litigation, as	or outcome of the info applicable. Any such in	ormation, indictment, information should be
	THE BEST OF MY ORRECT.	KNOWLEDGE, THE	ABOVE INFORMAT	TION IS TRUE AND
Co	mpany Name: 609	stal Securit	<u>.</u> <u>.e</u> s	
0 ::	A SPINISH			
	mature of Principal:			
	nted Name of Principal:	<u>ピ</u> ン		
	larazing Direct	tor		

Rev. 5/3 1/02

#### Answer#3

Coastal Securities has not been party to any litigation involving the City of San Antonio or any other Federal, State or Local governmental entity.

**As** a member of the NASD, Coastal Securities commits itself to binding arbitration on matters between member firms. Coastal Securities was named as defendent in a personnel hiring dispute with Duncan Williams, a Memphis Tennessee Broker Dealer. The arbitration panel ruled in favor of Duncan Williams and the dispute has been finally adjudicated.

# City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure

For use & this form, see City & San Antonio Ethics Code. Part D. Sections 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.
State "Not Applicable" for questions that do not

\* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

#### Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
NONE
(2) the identity of any business entity that would be a party to the discretionary contract:
None
and the name of:
(A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary contract;
None
NONE
and the name of:
B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent or subsidiary
business entry of any individual or business entry who would be a party to the discretionary contract;
Note

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

discretionary contract being sought discretionary contract.		oyed for purposes relating to the s entity who would be a party to the
None		
Political Contributions Any individual or business entity seconnection with a proposal for a discredefial (\$100) or more within the past to or former member of City Council, any that contributes to City Council election disclosed under (\$\frac{1}{2}\$) (\$\frac{1}{2}\$) or (\$\frac{3}{2}\$) above in to, contributions made by the individual contributions by an entity include, but owners attorneys, or registered lobbyist	etionary contract all political wenty-four (24) months made candidate for City Council ons, by any individual or bus indirect contributions by an in indial's spouse whether struct are not limited to contributed to contributed to contributed to contributed to contributed.	contributions totaling one hundred directly or indirectly to any curren or to any political action committee iness entity, whose identity must be dividual include but are not limited futury or common law. Indirect
To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
None		
Disclosures in Proposals Any individual or business entity seekir facts which, reasonably understood, rail violate Section 1 of Part B, Improper E discreteonary contract.	ise a question as to whether conomic Benefit, by particips	any city official or employee would
Any individual or business entity seeking facts which, reasonably understood, rail violate Section 1 of Part B. Improper E	ise a question as to whether conomic Benefit, by particips	any city official or employee would ting in official action relating to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this nule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about me appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.

#### CITY OF SANANTONIO LITIGATION DISCLOSURE

Failure to fully and truthfully disclose the information required by this Litigation Disclosure form may result in the disqualification of your proposal from consideration or termination of the contract, once awarded.

1.	Have you or any member of your Firm or Team to be assigned this engagement ever been
	indicted or convicted of a felony or misdemeanor greater than a Class C in the last five (5)
	years?

Circle One YES NO

2. Have you or any member of your Firm or Team been terminated (for cause or otherwise) from any work being performed for the City of San Antonio or any other Federal, State or Local Government, or Private Entity?

Circle One YES

3. Have you or any member of your Firm or Team been involved in any claim or litigation with the City of San Antonio or any other Federal, State or Local Government, or Private Entity during the last ten (10) years?

Circle One YES NO

If you have answered "Yes" to any of the above questions, please indicate the name(s) of the person(s), the nature, and the status and/or outcome of the information, indictment, conviction, termination, claim or litigation, as applicable. Any such information should be provided on a separate page, attached to this form and submitted with your proposal.

TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Company Name: ESTRANA HINOSOSA & Company INC.

Signature of Principal:

Novaca J. Coneaces
Printed Name of Principal:

Executive Vice President
Title of Principal

Rev. 5/31/02

Despite over 1,200 transactions since 1990, there has only been one formal complaint against Estrada Hinojosa. On February 10,2000, a lawsuit was filed in the County Court at Law, Number Three, in El Paso County, El Paso, Texas, on behalf of the El Paso County Hospital District (the "Plaintiff" or the "District"), naming as Defendants seven corporations and one individual, which were parties to a 1996 transaction whereby the District entered into a Warrant Purchase Agreement. Estrada Hinojosa & Co., Inc. sewed as financial advisor to the District in said transaction and was included as one of the eight Defendants in the lawsuit.

The substantive issue in the suit was the validity of a legal opinion obtained by the District from its Bond Counsel with regard to its authority to enter into a Warrant Purchase Agreement. Om of Estrada Hinojosa's first recommendations to the District, as its financial advisor, was that the District retain a competent bond counsel to determine that very issue. Unfortunately, the District's lawyer, as is normal for such litigation, named as defendants not only the District's Bond Counsel, bur also every other party involved in the transaction. Estrada Hinojosa is gratified that in this only legal proceeding ever brought against our firm, there was no finding of wrongdoing or liability. Upon further review by the District, it was determined that Estrada Hinojosa should not have been a defendant and the District proceeded to drop its charges against Estrada Hinojosa. The entire lawsuit was dismissed July 18,2000.

Anyone wishing to discuss the circumstances of the litigation inmore detail should contact Robert A. Estrada, Chairman & CEO, at 214-658-1670.

#### CITY OF SAN ANTONIO GOOD FAITH EFFORT PLAN

NAME OF COMPA	NY: ESTRADA A	FINOSOSA & COMPANY, INC.
PROJECTNAME:	SUBCONTRACTEN	Co- FINANCIAL ABVISORY SERVICES
I ROUDCITWINE.	OTTO COM/RME/CE	OU THAT CHE MOUISORY SERVICES

1. Indicate all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE subcontractors proposed **for this** contract. (Use additional sheets **as needed.**)

			MBE-WBE-	
		% LEVEL <b>OF</b>	AABE	
NAME OF	CONTRACT		CERTIFICATION	HUE
SUBCONTRACTOR	AMOUNT	N	NUMBER	(Y/N)
ESTRADA HINOSOSA & COMA	eny luc.	S A CERTIFIED	MEG	
ESTRADA HINOSOSA & COMA	CONTRACTOR	TO CORSTAL S	CCURITIOS	
FOR FINANCIAL ADVISORY				

Only companies certified as MBE, WBE, AABE or SBE by the City of San Antonio or its certifying organization can be applied towards the contracting goals. All MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE subcontractors must submit a copy of certification certificate through the Prime Contractor. Proof of certification must be attached to this form. If a subcontractor is not certified, please call the Small Business Outreach Division at (210) 207-3900 for information and details and how subcontractors can obtain certification.

It is understood and agreed that, if awarded a contract by the **City** of **San** Antonio, the Contractor will not **make** additions, deletions, or **substitutions to** this **certified**list without consent of the Director of Economic Development and Director of the appropriate contracting department **(through** the submittal of the Request for Approval of **Change** to **Affirmed List** of Subcontractors form).

2.

Good Faith Effort Plan

NOTE: If MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE contracting goals were met, skip to #9.

If MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE contracting goals were not achieved in a percentage that

	equals or exceeds the City's goals, please give explanation.
	N/4
3.	List all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE Listings or Directories utilized to solicit participation.
4.	List all contractor associations and other associations solicited for MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE referrals.
	N/A
5.	Discuss all efforts aimed at utilizing MBE-WBE-AABE-SBEs.
6.	Indicate advertisement mediums used for soliciting bids from MBE-WBE-AABE-SBEs.

#### Good Faith Effort Plan

7. List all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE bids received but rejected. (Use additional sheets as needed.)

COMPANY <b>NAME</b>	MBE-WBE- AABE-SBE CERTIFICATION NUMBER	HUE (Y/N)	REASON FOR REJECTION
ala	NOMBER	(1/14)	RESECTION

- 8. Please attach a copy of your company's MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE policy.
- 9. Name and phone number of person appointed to coordinate and administer the Good Faith Efforts of your company on this project.

DONALS	J.	GONZALES
--------	----	----------

10. This Good Faith Effort Plan is subject to the Economic Development Department's approval.

#### **AFFIRMATION**

I HEREBY AFFIRM THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATIONIS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I FURTHER UNDERSTANDAND AGREE THAT, IF A WARDW THE CONTRACT, THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE ATTACHED THERETO AND BECOME A BINDING PART OF THE CONTRACT.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICIAL

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

TITLE OF OFFICIAL

9-18-03 (210) 223-4888

DATE PHONE

GoodFaith Effort Plan			
******	*******	******	*********
FOR CITY USE			
Plan Reviewed By:			
Recommendation:	Approval		Denial
Action Taken:	Approved		Denied
	]	DIRECTOR	OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

#### CITY OF SAN ANTONIO GOOD FAITH EFFORT PLAN

NAME OF COMPA	NY: Coastal Securities	
PROJECT NAME:	Financial Advisory Services	
		_

1. Indicate all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE subcontractors proposed for this contract. (Use additional sheets as needed.)

NAME OF SUBCONTRACTOR Estrada Hindosot Co	CONTRACT AMOUNT	% LEVEL OF PARTICPATIO N 3 5 %	MBE-WBE- AABE CERTIFICATION NUMBER	HUE (Y/N)
			, N	

Only companies certified as MBE, WBE, AABE or SBE by the City of San Antonio or its certifying organization can be applied towards the contracting goals. All MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE subcontractors must submit a copy of certification certificate through the Prime Contractor. Proof of certification must be attached to this form. If a subcontractor is not certified, please call the Small Business Outreach Division at (210) 207-3900 for information and details and how subcontractors can obtain certification.

It is understood and agreed that, if awarded a contract by the City of San Antonio, the Contractor will not make additions, deletions, or substitutions to this certified list without consent of the Director of Economic Development and Director of the appropriate contracting department (through the submittal of the Request for Approval of Change to Affirmed List of Subcontractors form).

NOTE: If MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE contracting goals were met, skip to #9.

6.	Indicate advertisement mediums used for soliciting bids from MBE-WBE-AABE-SBEs.
5.	Discuss all efforts aimed at utilizing MBE-WBE-AABE-SBEs.
4.	List all contractor associations and other associations solicited for MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE referrals.
3.	List all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE Listings or Directories utilized to solicit participation.
2.	If MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE contracting goals were not achieved in a percentage that equals or exceeds the City's goals, please give explanation.

#### Good Faith Effort Plan

7. List all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE bids received but rejected. (Use additional sheets as needed.)

COMPANY NAME	MBE-WBE- AABE-SBE CERTIFICATION NUMBER	HUE (Y/N)	REASON FOR REJECTION

- **8.** Please attach a copy of your company's MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE policy.
- **9.** Name and phone number of person appointed to coordinate and administer the Good Faith Efforts of your company on this project.

Jarge Ropriover 4877000

10. This Good Faith Effort Plan is subject to the Economic Development Department's approval.

#### **AFFIRMATION**

I HEREBYAFFIRM THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I FURTHER UNDERSTANDAND AGREE THAT, IF A WARDED THE CONTRACT, THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE ATTACHED THERETO AND BECOME A BINDING PART OF THE CONTRACT.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICIAL

TITLE OF OFFICIAL

pt 18 2003 4877000 TE PHONE

Good Faith Effort Plan		
******	******	**************
FOR CITY USE		
Plan Reviewed By:		
Recommendation:	Approval	Denial
Action Taken:	Approved	Denied
		DIRECTOR OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT