

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM FINANCE DEPARTMENT

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Milo Nitschke, Director, Finance Department

THROUGH: Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

COPIES:

Melissa Byrne Vossmer, Assistant City Manager; City Attorney's Office; City

Clerk; File

SUBJECT:

Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services Contract

DATE:

November 13, 2003

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This Ordinance authorizes the execution of a contract with Ernst & Young LLP, for Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services for various issues of tax-exempt obligations at a base fee of \$1,900.00 per issue, per calculation year, to commence on December 1, 2003 and to terminate on November 30, 2006, with the option to extend the contract for two (2) additional successive one (1) year periods under the same terms and conditions.

Staff recommends approval of this Ordinance.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Laws codified in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Code Section 148 and regulations promulgated by the United States Treasury mandate that interest income derived from the investment of tax-exempt proceeds in excess of the permitted arbitrage rate must be rebated to the United States Government. Arbitrage rebate compliance requires that computations be performed which are consistent with the complex technical requirements contained in the aforementioned laws and regulations to determine the rebate amount, if any. Any rebate amount due must be reported and paid to the United States Government. The scope of Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services includes a comprehensive review of the City's outstanding tax-exempt obligations, debt service funds, debt service reserve funds, capital projects funds and other funds, into which tax-exempt proceeds have been deposited. Such services also encompass calculation and verification of the arbitrage rate for each tax exempt obligation for which an arbitrage rebate computation is required, on-going service with respect to questions that may arise from time to time concerning arbitrage rebate, and assisting the City in responding to queries posed to the City related to arbitrage.

A Request for Proposal ("RFP") to provide Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services was mailed to seven firms on September 2, 2003. The RFP was placed on the City of San Antonio web site and the notice of solicitation was advertised in the San Antonio Express-News on Sunday, August 31, 2003 noting that the RFP would be made available on Tuesday, September 2, 2003. On October 6, 2003, three (3) proposals were received. All three respondents were invited to make oral presentations on October 27, 2003.

The written proposals and firms were evaluated by a selection committee (the "Selection Committee") comprised of representatives from the City Manager's Office and the Departments of Finance, Economic Development, and the Office of Management and Budget. The Selection Committee evaluated and scored the firms based on the following criteria:

- Responsiveness to the RFP
- Arbitrage rebate compliance services experience
- Qualifications and experience of personnel to be assigned to the account
- Technical and quantitative proficiency
- Sensitivity to SBEDA goals

The responding firms and their scores are listed below:

•	Ernst & Young LLP	82
=	First Southwest Asset Management, Inc.	76
•	Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll LLP and Bond Resource Partners, LP	65

Based on the aforementioned evaluation criteria and selection process, the Selection Committee proposes for City Council approval the firm of Ernst & Young to provide Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services.

As part of the proposal submitted by Ernst & Young, they propose to subcontract with Garza, Gonzales & Associates to perform tests of compliance of the City's debt service funds with the requirements of a "bona fide debt service fund" as defined in the arbitrage regulations. In addition, in the event that a bond issue contains pooled investment proceeds that must be allocated before the calculation of the rebate amount can be determined, Ernst & Young will utilize Garza, Gonzales & Associates to perform the allocations of the pooled funds. Garza, Gonzales & Associates will receive 33% of the per issue fees for a bond issue that requires both a debt service fund analysis and pooled investment proceeds allocation.

POLICY ANALYSIS

The proposed selection of the Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services provider is consistent with the City's policy and previous actions to employ these services.

FISCAL IMPACT

Fees for Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services are paid from tax-exempt obligation proceeds and interest earned on the investment of such proceeds; therefore, there is no impact on the City's Operating Budget. The following is a fee schedule for the Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services:

Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services Fee Schedule					
Annual Fees Per Issu Description Per Computation Yea					
Base Fee Per Computation Year	\$1,900				
Additional Charges for Special Services Related to:					
Debt Service Funds	\$0				
Pooled Funds	\$500				
Transferred Proceeds	\$250				
Debt Service Fund Residual Calculations (Excess Tax Collections)	\$500				
\$100,000 Test for Debt Service Funds	\$0				
Variable/Floating Rate Bond Issue	\$0_				
Yield Restriction Analysis/Yield Reduction Computation	\$250				
Premium for Quick Turnaround (Preliminary or Final Numbers					
within 21 days or less)	\$0				
Preparation of IRS Refund Request	\$5,000				
Penalty Calculations – Semiannual fee for each issue of Bonds,					
regardless of issue size	\$750				

In the event the City requests additional services not specifically provided for in the Contract, the City shall negotiate the fee prior to the engagement or performance of any such additional work, subject to City Council approval.

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS

The score sheet and the disclosures required by the City's Ethics Ordinance, Good Faith Effort Plan (SBEDA Form 117C), and Litigation Disclosure Form are attached.

COORDINATION

This action was coordinated with the City Manager's Office, the Departments of Finance, Economic Development, the Office of Management and Budget and the City Attorney's Office.

SIGNATURES

Milo D. Nitschke

Director, Finance Department

Approved:

Melissa Byrne Vossmer

Assistant City Manager

Terry M. Brechtel

City Manager

City of San Antonio Evaluation of Firms to Provide Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Firm Name: *SBEDA: Maximum Score	Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, LLP/ BondResource Partners, LP WBE, SBE	Ernst & Young LLP	First Southwest Asset Management, Inc.
Responsiveness to the Request for Proposal	5	3	5	4
Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services Experience	25	16	25	21
Qualifications and Experience of Personnel to be Assigned to this Account	25	21	22	21
Technical and Quantitative Proficiency	15	11	15	14
Cost of Services	10	7	5	10
SUBTOTAL	80	. 58	72	70
Local Business Enterprise	10	3	6	6
Historically Underutilized Enterprise	5	2	2	0
SBEDA Policy Compliance	5	2	2	0
SUBTOTAL	20	7	10	6 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
TOTAL	100	65	82	76

^{*} SBEDA (Small Business Economic Development Advocacy) certification: MBE = Minority Business Enterprise

AABE = African American Business Enterprise

WBE = Woman Owned Business Enterprise

DBE = Disadvantaged Business Enterprise

SBE = Small Business Enterprise

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D, Sections 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.

State "Not Applicable" for questions that do not apply.

* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:

Mr. Terence P. Burke, Partner and National Director of the Arbitrage Rebate Group for Ernst & Young LLP

(2) the identity of any business entity that would be a party to the discretionary contract:

Ernst & Young L.L.P. 2121 San Jacinto Street, Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75201

and the name of:

(A) any individual or business entity that would be a *subcontractor* on the discretionary contract;

Mr. Rene Gonzalez Garza Gonzalez & Associates CPAs 207 Arden Grove Street San Antonio, TX 78215

and the name of:

(B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a <i>partner</i> , or a <i>parent</i> or <i>subsidiary</i> business	SS
entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract;	

A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

(3)	the identity discretionary discretionary	contract	being soug	-	-	-	 	
	N/A							

Political Contributions

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the city must disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract all political contributions totaling one hundred dollars (\$100) or more within the past twenty-four (24) months made directly or indirectly to any current or former member of City Council, any candidate for City Council, or to any political action committee that contributes to City Council elections, by any individual or business entity whose identity must be disclosed under (1), (2) or (3) above. Indirect contributions by an individual include, but are not limited to, contributions by an entity include, but are not limited to, contributions by an entity include, but are not limited to, contributions made through the officers, owners, attorneys, or registered lobbyists of the entity.

To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
N/A	N/A	N/A

Disclosures in Proposals

Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract with the city shall disclose any known facts which, reasonably understood, raise a question² as to whether any city official or employee would violate Section 1 of Part B, Improper Economic Benefit, by participating in official action relating to the discretionary contract.

N/A		
Signature:	Title: Partner	Date: October 3, 2003
Derence P. Burke	Company: Ernst & Young LLP	

² For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO LITIGATION DISCLOSURE

Failure to fully and truthfully disclose the information required by this Litigation Disclosure form may result in the disqualification of your proposal from consideration or termination of the contract, once awarded.

1.	Have you or any member indicted or convicted of a years?	of your Firm or Tean a felony or misdemean	a to be assigned to this endor greater than a Class	ngagement ever been C in the last five (5)
	Circle One	YES	NO	
2.	Have you or any membe from any work being per Local Government, or Pri	formed for the City of		
	Circle One	YES	NO	
3.	Have you or any member the City of San Antonio of during the last ten (10) ye	or any other Federal,	been involved in any classificate or Local Government	aim or litigation with ent, or Private Entity
	Circle One	YES	NO	
the co	you have answered "Yes e person(s), the nature, a nviction, termination, cla ovided on a separate page	and the status and/o im or litigation, as a	r outcome of the infor pplicable. Any such inf	mation, indictment, ormation should be
the co pr	e person(s), the nature, a nviction, termination, cla	and the status and/o im or litigation, as a e, attached to this for	r outcome of the infor pplicable. Any such inf m and submitted with y	mation, indictment, ormation should be our proposal.
the co pr TCC CC Si Pr Pr	e person(s), the nature, and notion, cland ovided on a separate page of THE BEST OF MY K	and the status and/o im or litigation, as a e, attached to this for NOWLEDGE, THE	r outcome of the infor pplicable. Any such inf m and submitted with y	mation, indictment, ormation should be our proposal.

Rev. 5/31/02

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO GOOD FAITH EFFORT PLAN

NAME OF COMPAN	Y: Ernst & Young L.L.P.
PROJECT NAME: _	Arbitrage Rebate Compliance Services
Indicate all MBE-WBE additional sheets as nee	-AABE-SBE subcontractors proposed for this contract. (Use ded.)

1

NAME OF SUBCONTRACTOR	CONTRACT AMOUNT	% LEVEL OF PARTICPATION	MBE-WBE- AABE CERTIFICATION NUMBER	HUE (Y/N)
Garza Gonzalez & Associates			201-02-1026	Y
1. Analysis of Debt Service Funds	\$300 per issue	12.5%		
2 Allocations of Commingled Funds, (if applicable)	\$500 per issue	20.8%		
TOTAL:	\$800 per issue	33.3% **	Valid thru 2/21/04	
** Assumes total Annual Fees	per issue, fees o	f \$2,400		

Only companies certified as MBE, WBE, AABE or SBE by the City of San Antonio or its certifying organization can be applied towards the contracting goals. All MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE subcontractors must submit a copy of certification certificate through the Prime Contractor. Proof of certification must be attached to this form. If a subcontractor is not certified, please call the Small Business Outreach Division at (210) 207-3900 for information and details and how subcontractors can obtain certification.

It is understood and agreed that, if awarded a contract by the City of San Antonio, the Contractor will not make additions, deletions, or substitutions to this certified list without consent of the Director of Economic Development and Director of the appropriate contracting department (through the submittal of the Request for Approval of Change to Affirmed List of Subcontractors form).

Good Faith Effort Plan

NOTE: If MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE contracting goals were met, skip to #9.

2.	If MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE contracting goals were not achieved in a percentage that equals or exceeds the City's goals, please give explanation.
3.	List all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE Listings or Directories utilized to solicit participation.
4.	List all contractor associations and other associations solicited for MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE referrals.
5.	Discuss all efforts aimed at utilizing MBE-WBE-AABE-SBEs.
6.	Indicate advertisement mediums used for soliciting bids from MBE-WBE-AABE-SBEs.

7. List all MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE bids received but rejected. (Use additional sheets as needed.)

COMPANY NAME	MBE-WBE- AABE-SBE CERTIFICATION NUMBER	HUE (Y/N)	REASON FOR REJECTION

- 8. Please attach a copy of your company's MBE-WBE-AABE-SBE policy.
- 9. Name and phone number of person appointed to coordinate and administer the Good Faith Efforts of your company on this project.

Mr. Terence P. Burke, Partner
(214) 969-8359

10. This Good Faith Effort Plan is subject to the Economic Development Department's approval.

AFFIRMATION

I HEREBY AFFIRM THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I FURTHER UNDERSTAND AND AGREE THAT, IF AWARDED THE CONTRACT, THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE ATTACHED THERETO AND BECOME A BINDING PART OF THE CONTRACT.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICIAL

Partner
TITLE OF OFFICIAL

October 3, 2003 (214) 969-8359

DATE PHONE

Good Faith Effort Plan		
*******	*******	************
FOR CITY USE		
Plan Reviewed By:		
Recommendation:	Approval	Denial
Action Taken:	Approved	Denied
	DIF	RECTOR OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT