

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEMORANDUM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Ramiro A. Cavazos, Director, Economic Development Department

THROUGH: Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

COPIES:

J. Rolando Bono, Erik Walsh, Rebecca Waldman, Andrew Martin, Trey

Jacobson, Manuel Longoria, Jr., Meredith Starr, File

SUBJECT:

Ordinance to Assign existing Tax Phase-in Agreement of Seven Seventeen

HB San Antonio Corporation (Adam's Mark Hotel) to the anticipated new

owner, MSPA Acquisition II, L.P.

DATE:

December 18, 2003

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The purpose of this ordinance is to approve the contingent assignment of a Tax Phase-in Agreement (previously approved on February 8, 1996, by Ordinance No. 83580) between the City of San Antonio and Seven Seventeen HB San Antonio Corporation, owner of the Adam's Mark Hotel and related property located at Soledad and Pecan streets, to the anticipated new owner of the hotel, MSPA Acquisition II, L.P. The current owner is in compliance with the existing agreement, which will not be modified by this action. The existing tax phase-in will conclude on December 31, 2006.

Staff recommends approval of this item.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

On February 8, 1996, City Council approved a Tax Phase-in Agreement with a special purpose entity, Seven Seventeen HB San Antonio Corporation, created by its parent company HBE Corporation. This company owns, renovated and now operates the Adam's Mark Hotel, located at the corner of Soledad and Pecan streets. The company invested over \$30 million in the facility, and now employs over 300 full-time employees. Bexar County and San Antonio ISD also granted tax abatements to support the project.

On November 14, 2003, the Economic Development Department received a request for a contingent assignment of the Tax Phase-in Agreement, as provided in the contract, to an anticipated purchaser of the hotel, MSPA Acquisition II, L.P., a joint venture between the affiliates of Morgan Stanley Real Estate Funds and Pyramid Advisors, L.L.C.

This joint venture acquires and operates full-service hotels in the United States. The closing on the transfer of the hotel property is expected to occur before year's end.

POLICY ANALYSIS:

This action would authorize the assignment of an existing Tax Phase-in Agreement, along with all associated benefits, obligations, and liabilities, there under. As a practice, such agreements must receive prior City Council approval to be assigned to another owner. However, the Agreement provides that such assignments shall not be unreasonably withheld.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact associated with this action.

COORDINATION:

This item was coordinated with the Asset Management Department, and City Attorney.

Ramiro A. Cavazos, Director

Economic Development Department

J. Rolando Bono Deputy City Manager

Approved:

Terry M. Brechtel City Manager

RC:TJ

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D, Sections 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.
State*Not Applicable* for questions that do not apply.

* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any Individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
None
(2) the identity of any <u>business entity</u> that would be a party to the discretionary contract:
MSPA Acquisition II, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership
and the name of:
(A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary contract;
None
None
None
and the name of:

¹ A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

(3) the identity of any lobbyi discretionary contract bei party to the discretionary of	ing sought by ar	tions firm employs individual or	oyed for pur business e	rposes relating to ntity who would b
None				
Political Contributions Any individual or business en connection with a proposal (hundred dollars (\$100) or rindirectly to any current or for any political action committe business entity whose ider contributions by an individual individual's spouse, whether include, but are not limited to registered lobbyists of the entitle individual individual.	for a discretional more within the mer member of the that contribute that must be disal include, but a statutory or coo, contributions is	ry contract all past twenty-for City Council, are to City Courisclosed under are not limited ommon-law.	political conur (24) more candidate consisted in (1), (2) ore to, contributions contri	tributions totaling aths made directly for City Council, or by any individual (3) above. Individual (3) above by the butions made by the city of the courtions by an experience of the courtions
To Whom Made: None		Amount:	Date	of Contribution:
Disciosures in Proposals Any individual or business en known facts which, reasonals employee would violate Sec official action relating to the d	oly understood, ration 1 of Part B	aise a question , Improper Eco	² as to whel	ther any city officia
None				
Signature:	Title: v	íce Presidant	and the second section of the second	Date:
Signature:		ice President		
		ice President Y: MSPA Acqui		Date:

² For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.