CITY OF SAN ANTONIO AGENTAL MEMORANDUM NO. ____ ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

TO:

Mayor and City Council

FROM:

Ramiro A. Cavazos, Director, Economic Development

THROUGH: Terry M. Brechtel, City Manager

COPIES:

J. Rolando Bono; Melissa Byrne Vossmer; Andrew Martin; Lou Lendman; Milo

Nitschke; Trey Jacobson; Manuel Longoria; Dianne Quaglia; file

SUBJECT:

Ordinance to authorize issuance of tax-exempt revenue refunding bonds by the City of San Antonio, Education Facilities Corporation to refinance existing debt for Chisholm Hall, located on the campus of the University of Texas San Antonio,

in an amount totaling \$4.18 million.

DATE:

January 15, 2004

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION:

This ordinance would authorize the City of San Antonio Education Facilities Corporation (EFC) to issue revenue refunding bonds totaling \$4.18 million to refinance a portion of existing taxexempt debt on the UTSA Phase I Dormitory project. This project, also known as Chisholm Hall, is located on the UTSA campus, and was originally financed by the corporation in 1985 and subsequently refinanced in 1996. Under State law, the bond issuance cannot be completed without prior City Council approval.

Staff recommends approval.

BACKGROUND:

The City of San Antonio, Texas Higher Education Authority (HEA) was created by the City of San Antonio in 1984 for the purpose of aiding educational institutions of higher education with tax-exempt financing options to improve their facilities. On May 31, 2001, the City Council approved amendments to the Corporation's Articles of Incorporation to change its name to the City of San Antonio, Texas Education Facilities Corporation (EFC), and to permit the corporation to issue tax-exempt and taxable bonds to finance facility improvements at accredited non-public schools and state-authorized charter schools, pursuant to State law.

In 1985, the University of Texas System entered into a ground lease of property at the UTSA campus to permit the development of Chisholm Hall, a 508-bed student dormitory, which primarily serves as housing for freshmen students. A private developer, Phase I Dormitory Partnership, designed, constructed, and manages the housing for UTSA. At the end of the ground lease, the University of Texas System will own the project. The HEA, prior to its name change to EFC, issued tax-exempt bonds to finance the construction of this dormitory facility. (It should be noted that tax-exempt, private-activity financings, such as this, were permissible before tax law changes in 1986.) The bonds were refinanced by the HEA in 1996, and approximately \$5.1 million of principal remains outstanding.

Over the past two years, the owner has borrowed an additional \$2.4 million to make critical HVAC, life safety systems, and interior improvements to the facility. The owner now desires to structure both the private debt components and previous HEA debt on parity relative to term, pledges, and claims on the property. If approved, \$4.18 million of the \$5.1 million in tax-exempt bond debt will be refinanced on a tax-exempt basis. The borrower will issue private, taxable bonds to refinance existing private project debt, plus approximately \$900,000 in EFC debt being advanced refunded. On December 16, 2003, the EFC Board of Directors unanimously approved the bond issue, and now requests City Council approval. In addition, it should be noted that Allied Irish Bank, p.l.c. will issue a direct-pay letter of credit which will further secure the timely payment of all principal and interest on the bonds.

POLICY ANALYSIS:

This student dormitory project was originally financed by the Corporation in 1985, and refinanced in 1996. This bond issue would reduce overall debt service expenses for the project by approximately \$55,000/year, and according to the owners, make possible the deferment of future rental rate increases normally borne by student residents. As such, this refinancing is consistent with the policy and practice of the City of providing access to tax-exempt bonds to lower the operational costs to institutions of higher education and to their students.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no financial impact to the City of San Antonio associated with this action. The EFC will receive \$22,500 in application and transaction fees. All debt obligations and liabilities are the responsibility of the owners, Phase I Dormitory Partnership and its respective partners. There is no financial impact or risk to either the City of San Antonio or the EFC.

COORDINATION:

This item was coordinated with the Finance Department, City Attorney's Office, Bond Counsel, and Financial Advisor.

Ramiro A. Cavazos, Director

Economic Development Department

J. Rolando Bono

Deputy City Manager

Approved:

Terry M. Brechtel

City Manager

TJ:rhm Attachment

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure* For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D. Sections 182

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethios Code, Part D, Sections 1&2 Attach additional sheets if apace provided is not sufficient. State 'Not Applicable' for questions that do not apply.

* This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before the discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about which information is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:
(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
(2) the identity of any business entity that would be a party to the discretionary contract:
Phase I Dormitory Partnership 1000 Main Street, Suite 3200 Houston, Texas 77002
and the name of:
(A) any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary contract;
N/A - Proceeds of Refunding Bonds will be applied to refinance existing tax exempt debt.
and the name of:
(B) any individual or business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent or subsidiary business entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
Partners of Phase I Dormitory Partnership are Chisholm Hall Corp. and Chisholm Hall Partnership I, Ltd.

¹ A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

None		
Political Contributions Any individual or business entity seeking connection with a proposal for a disconnection with a proposal for a disconnection with a proposal for a disconnection to any current or former members any political action committee that contributions by an individual including individual's spouse, whether statuton include, but are not limited to, contributed to but are not limited to contributed to business of the entity. To Whom Made:	retionary contract all find the past twenty-found the past twenty-found the first council, and intributes to City Council, and the disclosed under e, but are not limited to a common-law.	ir (24) months made directly of candidate for City Council, or to cil elections, by any Individual of (1), (2) or (3) above. Indirect to, contributions made by the direct contributions by an entity
Non⊕		
Disclosures in Proposals Any individual or business entity seeki known facts which, reasonably unders employee would violate Section 1 of	stood, raise a question	as to whether any city official of

Dormitory Partnership

Title: President

Company: Chisholm Hall Corp., general partner of Phase !

Signature:

Date:

November 20, 2003

² For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal la required.