# CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

TO:

Mayor and Council

THROUGH: J. Rolando Bono, Interim City Manager

FROM:

Sharon De La Garza, Human Resources Director

**COPIES:** 

Frances A. Gonzalez, Assistant City Manager; City Attorney's Office; Finance

Department; File

**SUBJECT:** 

Deer Oaks Contract

DATE:

October 7, 2004

#### **SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION**

This Ordinance authorizes the City Manager or her designee to execute a contract with Deer Oaks EAP Services at an estimated annual amount of \$101,977 to provide an Employee Assistance Program for all non-uniformed active City employees and their eligible family members for evaluation, assessment and short-term counseling services. The term of this contract is three (3) years, beginning January 1, 2005 and ending December 31, 2007, with an option to extend the contract for up to two (2) one (1) year extensions, subject to and contingent upon funding and approval by City Council.

Staff recommends approval of this Ordinance.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The City of San Antonio has provided an Employee Assistance Program benefit since August 1, 1999 through Employee Assistance Program International (EAPI). Types of counseling services provided include, but are not limited to, family and marital problems, drug and/or alcohol abuse and dependency, depression, anger management, financial and legal issues, stress and/or tension, grief, and child and adolescent problems.

A Request for Proposal (RFP) for Combined Health Benefits, which included an employee assistance program services module, was developed in coordination with Asset Management and a contracted independent consultant. The RFP was posted on the City of San Antonio Government Website on March 15, 2004 and distributed to 20 employee assistance program vendors who currently provide this service in Texas. The Human Resources Department advertised the RFP in the San Antonio Express-News on March 21, 2004. A Pre-Proposal

Conference was held on April 2, 2004 and the RFP closed on April 21, 2004. Sixteen (16) employee assistance program service RFP responses were received by the deadline and reviewed by a Technical Committee for compliance with RFP requirements, financial stability, SBEDA scoring and short listing for interview. The Technical Committee consisted of representatives from the City Attorney's Office, Economic Development, Finance, Health, Asset Management, and the Human Resources Departments.

The RFP Evaluation Committee convened on June 17, 2004 to review the short listed five (5) proposals for evaluation, interview and scoring. The Evaluation Committee was composed of department directors or designees from Human Resources, Finance, Fire, Health, Parks & Recreation, Police, Purchasing, and Asset Management (advisory role); and individuals from the Fire Association, Police Association, Civilian Employee Association and the Retiree Association. Interviews with the short listed employee assistance program firms were held on June 28-29, 2004 and final scoring by the committee was conducted July 7, 2004. The Committee scored according to the evaluation criteria listed in the RFP which included the following: responsiveness to the RFP (5%), Proposed Service Plan (20%), and Background and Capability (25%). The SBEDA scores (20%) were submitted by Economic Development, and the proposed pricing schedule (30%) was calculated with the application of a mathematical formula. The scoring matrix is attached.

As a result of this RFP process the Evaluation Committee recommends that Deer Oaks EAP Services be awarded the contract to provide employee assistance program services for the non-uniformed employees. The Deer Oaks EAP program will increase the number of counseling sessions per plan participant, per issue, per year from 5 to 6, with no limit on the number of issues a participant may receive in a year.

#### **POLICY ANALYSIS**

Execution of this agreement will allow the City to continue to offer an employee assistance program, which is an integral part of the City's Health Benefits Program.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

Funds are appropriated annually, by fiscal year, in the Employee Benefits Self-Insurance Fund. This ordinance will authorize the first nine (9) months of this contract in FY 2004-2005 and the remaining twenty-seven (27) months will be authorized in FY 2005-2006, FY 2006-2007 and FY 2007-2008, contingent upon subsequent funding. The negotiated per employee per month rate of \$1.32, which is a reduction from the current rate of \$1.88, results in an estimated annual savings of \$43,264 per calendar year. The rate is guaranteed for the entire term of the contract.

#### **COORDINATION**

This item has been coordinated with the City Attorney's Office, Asset Management, Finance, Health and Economic Development Departments.

## **SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS**

The required Ethics Disclosure Statement is attached.

Sharon De La Garza

Human Resources Director

Frances A. Gonzalez

Assistant City Manager

Approved:

J. Rolando Bono

Interim City Manager

Attachments

# City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure\*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D. Sections 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.
State Not Applicable for questions that do not apply.

This form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about whic formation is required to be filed.

### Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
None-CA
(1) the identity of any <u>business entity</u> that would be a party to the discretionary contract:
1.0 % e - 13, 3
and the name of:
(A) any individual or business entity that would be a <b>subcontractor</b> on the discretionary contract:
Nume = 10 } A
and the name of:
(A) any individual or business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent or subsidiary business entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract:
(1) 0, 140 - 14 (1) A

A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

(1) the identity of any lobbyist or pudiscretionary contract being sour party to the discretionary contract	ght by any individual or bu	
None - None		
Political Contributions Any individual or business entity see connection with a proposal for a dishundred dollars (\$100) or more windirectly to any current or former meany political action committee that business entity whose identity mucontributions by an individual includindividual's spouse, whether statutinclude, but are not limited to, contregistered lobbyists of the entity.	iscretionary contract all polithin the past twenty-four ember of City Council, any contributes to City Council ust be disclosed under (fude, but are not limited tory or common-law. Indicated	ditical contributions totaling one (24) months made directly or candidate for City Council, or to elections, by any individual or 1), (2) or (3) above. Indirect to, contributions made by the rect contributions by an entity
To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
Disclosures in Proposals  Any individual or business entity see known facts which, reasonably unde employee would violate Section 1 official action relating to the discretion	erstood, raise a question <sup>2</sup> a of Part B, Improper Econd	as to whether any city official or
1,0 me - 101.75		
Signature:	Title: () & C / C where Company: Deen Care 15/- 1 Se.	Date:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.

## Attachment A Evaluation Matrix

	Deer Oaks	Horizon	United Healthcare	Community First	Humana
Responsiveness to RFP - 5 Pts.	4.13	4.38	3.63	4.00	3.63
Proposed Services - 20 Pts.	16.13	15.88	13.38	15.88	15.13
Pricing Schedule - 30 Pts.	30.00	24.29	19.60	29.33	20.73
Background & Capability to Provide Services - 25 Pts.		21.13	17.88	20.50	18.00
Total Technical Points		65.67	54.48	69.71	57.48
SBEDA	10.75	4.75	6.00	10.00	6.00
Total Score	80.75	70.42	60.48	79.71	63.48