CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Mayor and Council

THROUGH: J. Rolando Bono, Interim City Manager

FROM: Sharon De La Garza, Human Resources Director

COPIES: Frances A. Gonzalez, Assistant City Manager; City Attorney's Office; Finance

Department; File

SUBJECT: Concentra Health Services, Inc. Contract

DATE: October 7, 2004

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

This Ordinance authorizes the Interim City Manager or his designee to execute a contract with Concentra Health Services, Inc. at an estimated annual amount of \$104,786 to provide drug and alcohol testing for prospective non-uniformed City employees and City employees operating commercial vehicles. The term of this contract is three (3) years, beginning January 1, 2005 and ending December 31, 2007, with an option to extend the contract for up to two (2) one (1) year extensions, subject to and contingent upon funding and approval by City Council.

Staff recommends approval of this Ordinance.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The City of San Antonio has utilized an independent laboratory since the inception of its alcohol and drug-testing program in January 1995. Moreover, the City has conducted alcohol and drug testing of newly hired non-uniformed employees since March 1997. The purpose of these activities is to conform with federal transportation and drug-free workplace guidelines and comply with City Administrative Directive 4.3, *Alcohol and Controlled Substances Testing*.

A Request for Proposal (RFP) for Combined Health Benefits, which included a drug testing services module, was developed in coordination with Asset Management and a contracted independent consultant. The RFP was posted on the City of San Antonio Government Website on March 15, 2004 and distributed to 15 drug testing vendors who currently provide this service in Texas. The Human Resources Department advertised the RFP in the San Antonio Express-

News on March 21, 2004. A Pre-Proposal Conference was held on April 2, 2004 and the RFP closed on April 21, 2004. Nine (9) drug testing service RFP responses were received by the deadline and reviewed by a Technical Committee for compliance with RFP requirements, financial stability, SBEDA scoring and short listing for interview. The Technical Committee consisted of representatives from the City Attorney's Office, Economic Development, Finance, Health, Asset Management, and the Human Resources Departments.

The RFP Evaluation Committee convened on June 17, 2004 to review the short listed four (4) proposals for evaluation, interview and scoring. The Evaluation Committee was composed of department directors or designees from Human Resources, Finance, Fire, Health, Parks & Recreation, Police, Purchasing, and Asset Management (advisory role); and individuals from the Fire Association, Police Association, Civilian Employee Association and the Retiree Association. Interviews with the short listed drug testing firms were held on June 28-29, 2004 and final scoring by the committee was conducted July 7, 2004. The Committee scored according to the evaluation criteria listed in the RFP which included the following: responsiveness to the RFP (5%), Proposed Service Plan (20%), and Background and Capability (25%). The SBEDA scores (20%)were submitted by Economic Development, and the proposed pricing schedule (30%) was calculated with the application of a mathematical formula. The scoring matrix is attached.

The Evaluation Committee recommends that Concentra, Inc. be awarded the contract to provide drug and alcohol testing services. Concentra has five locations covering Northeast, East, North, West and Downtown San Antonio to adequately handle the volume of testing required by the City of San Antonio.

POLICY ANALYSIS

Execution of this agreement will allow the City to remain in compliance with federal transportation and drug-free workplace guidelines. This ordinance follows past City policy in outsourcing specialized services to companies that can perform them more efficiently and effectively.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

This ordinance authorizes payment to Concentra Services, Inc. at a rate of \$24.00 per preemployment drug screen, \$24.00 per CDL drug screen and \$10.00 per intoxilyzer screen on a feefor-service basis. The rates are guaranteed throughout the entire term of the contract.

COORDINATION

This item has been coordinated with the City Attorney's Office, Asset Management, Finance, Health and Economic Development Departments.

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS

The required Ethics Disclosure Statement is attached.

Sharon De La Garza

Human Resources Director

Frances A. Gonzalez

Assistant City Manager

Approved:

J. Rolando Bono Interim City Manager

Attachments

City of San Antonio Discretionary Contracts Disclosure*

For use of this form, see City of San Antonio Ethics Code, Part D, Sections 1&2
Attach additional sheets if space provided is not sufficient.
State "Not Applicable" for questions that do not apply.

'his form is required to be supplemented in the event there is any change in the information under (1), (2), or (3) below, before discretionary contract is the subject of council action, and no later than five (5) business days after any change about whic formation is required to be filed.

Disclosure of Parties, Owners, and Closely Related Persons

For the purpose of assisting the City in the enforcement of provisions contained in the City Charter and the Code of Ethics, an individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract from the City is required to disclose in connection with a proposal for a discretionary contract:

(1) the identity of any individual who would be a party to the discretionary contract:	er Hr
N/A	
(1) the identity of any business entity that would be a party to the discretionary contract	
N/A	
and the name of:	
(A) — any individual or ousness entity that would be a subcontractor of the discretional,	of the
N/A	
and the name of:	
(A) : any, individual for business entity that is known to be a partner, or a parent of : substitiany business entity, of any individual or business entity who would be a party to the discretionary contract.	
N/A	

¹ A *business entity* means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law.

(1) the identity of any lobbyist or a discretionary contract being so party to the discretionary contract	ught by any individual or bus	siness lentity who would be a
N/A		
Political Contributions Any individual or business entity se connection with a proposal for a contribution (\$100) or more with the contributions accommend to the contributions by an individual inclindividual sepouse, whether statuinclude, but are not limited to conregistered jobbyists of the entity.	liscretionary contract all politivithin the past twenty-four (comber of Gity Goungle any carcontributes to City Goungle (d.) ust be disclosed under (d.) ude, four are not limited to tory or common aw. Indire	ical contributions totaling one 24) months made directly or noticate for City Council; or to elections, by any individual or . (2) for (3) above indirect contributions made by the ct contributions by an entity
To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:
		1
N/A		
N/A Disclosures in Proposals Any individual or business entity sections are switched associably unit employee would worker Section official action relating to the discretion	arico, raise a question as of Park B, Improper Econom	io Whatter any ally offeator
Disclosures in Proposals Any includuation business entity set known facis which reasonably und employee would wolate Section 1	apsicio: raise a destini as of Pari, B, Improper Econon prary cartigo:	to where any cly official or its Benefit by participating in
Disclosures in Proposals Anvirolividual or business entity sec known, ges which reasonably bind employee would wolate Section official action relating to the discretion	arico, raise a question as of Park B, Improper Econom	to whether any tally official for the Benefit by participating in

² For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.

	Premier	Concentra	United Healthcare	Humana
Responsiveness to RFP - 5 Pts.	3.50	4.38	4.00	3.88
Proposed Services - 20 Pts.	12.63	17.38	15.75	15.50
Pricing Schedule - 30 Pts.	30.00	28.96	19.44	19.44
Background & Capability to Provide Services - 25 Pts.	11.75	22.38	21.00	21.25
Total Technical Points	57.88	73.08	60.19	60.06
SBEDA	11.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
		i	 	
Total Score	68.88	79.08	66.19	66.06