Agenda iteni 28

## CITY OF SAN ANTONIO FINANCE DEPARTMENT CITY COUNCIL AGENDA MEMORANDUM

TO:

Sheryl Sculley, City Manager

FROM:

Ben Gorzell Jr., Acting Finance Director

**SUBJECT:** 

Ordinance authorizing a settlement with Grande Communications, Inc.

DATE:

April 20, 2006

#### SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

This Ordinance authorizes a settlement in the amount of \$148,930 with Grande Communications, Inc for outstanding obligations prior to the termination of its cable franchise agreement with the City of San Antonio.

Approval of this Ordinance is recommended.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

In the summer of 2000, the City granted Grande Communications a franchise agreement to construct and operate a cable system within the City of San Antonio. Some key provisions of the agreement included a construction timeline, prepayment of franchise fees, PEG start-up funds and a requirement for a security fund. During the second special legislative session, the State approved Senate Bill 5 (SB5) which allowed certain cable providers to terminate local municipal cable franchise agreements and move to a state issued certificate.

On October 27, 2005, the Texas Public Utility Commission granted a certificate to Grande Communications to operate and provide cable services in San Antonio, thereby terminating its agreement with the City. At the time of this termination, the City and Grande had several unresolved obligations outstanding which had been under discussion. Under terms of the City's franchise agreement, failure to meet the construction milestones resulted in a penalty of \$200 per day. This penalty applied through the termination date results in an amount due to the City of \$93,000. Additionally, the balance of the prepayment of franchise fees under the franchise agreement may be subject to retention by the City for failure to build the cable system in accordance with terms of the franchise agreement. The prepayment balance was estimated at \$56,883 at the termination date of the City's franchise agreement. A balance of \$85,000 in Public, Educational, and Government (PEG) access channel start-up funds also remained under the franchise agreement. These potential claims by the City totaled approximately \$234,883 as of the termination date of the franchise agreement.

Staff and representatives of Grande met to discuss resolution of these claims by the City. As a result of these negotiations, a mutually agreeable proposed settlement was reached. The City will retain the \$100,000 security deposit under the franchise agreement and Grande will compensate the City an additional \$48,930 resulting in total value to the City of \$148,930.

#### **POLICY ANALYSIS**

The passage of SB 5 and the termination of the City's franchise agreement with Grande did not abrogate, nullify, or adversely affect contractual obligations that existed or were incurred prior to its termination. The proposed settlement is reasonable with the City receiving total value of \$148,930 to resolve all outstanding claims under the franchise agreement. The City does retain the right to perform an audit of the franchise agreement for compliance prior to its termination and any issues identified under such an audit would be resolved at that time.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Under the proposed settlement, the City will receive total value of \$148,930. These funds will be designated for funding the City's costs for PEG and will be deposited into the City's General Fund.

### **COORDINATION**

This item has been coordinated with the City Attorney's Office.

id dandles

Ben Gorzell Jr., CPA CActing Finance Director

Approved for Council Consideration:

Sherlyl Sculley City Manager

# City of San Antonio

Discretionary Contracts Disclosure
For use of this form, see Section 2-59 through 2-81 of the City Cade (Ethics Code)
Attach additional shoots if space provided is not sufficient.

(1) Identify any individual or business entity! that is a party to the discretionary contract:				
Grande Communications, Ire				
Abbudat 12. 1 Abrila 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 11				
(2) Identify any individual or business entity which is a partner, parent or subsidiary business entity of any individual or business entity identified above in Box (1):				
No partner, parent or subsidiary; or				
List partner, parent or subsidiary of each party to the contract and identify the corresponding party:				
Grande Communications Networks, Inc.				
Grande Communications Holdings, Inc.				
(3) Identify any individual or business entity that would be a subcontractor on the discretionary contract.				
No subcontractor(s); or				
List subcontractors:				
(4) Identify any lobbylst or public relations firm employed by any party to the discretionary contract for purposes related to seeking the discretionary contract.				
No lobbyist or public relations firm employed; or				
List lobbyists or public relations firms:				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A business entity means a sole proprietorship, partnership, firm, corporation, holding company, joint-stock company, receivership, trust, unincorporated association, or any other entity recognized by law. A sole proprietor should list the name of the individual and the d/b/s, if any.

(5) Political Co	ntributions contributions total	ling one hundred dollars (\$100)	oc more with	The second secon	
Council, or to a individual or bu	s made to any c ny <i>political actic</i> siness entity wh	urent or former member of City in committee that contributes to ose identity must be disclosed	Council, any City Council under Box (	candidate for City elections by any (2) (3) or (4)	
sbove, or by the	officers, owners	of any business entity listed in E	30x (1), (2) or	The control of the co	
No contribu	tions made; If co	ontributions made, list below:		a - ahmepi a a a billi bibanca	
By Whom Mad		To Whom Made:	Amount:	Date of Contribution:	
				}	
(6) Disclosure	s in Proposals	em talanda mengentuk da kanan salaman ang pang-pengunag-pengunag sebagai mang sebagai mengentuk da da da da da Bangan da mengentuk da sebagai	18 . If h i	Law substandari op 1914 1911 1888 189 och substandari op 1914 1911 1888 189 och substandari op 1914 1918	
Any individual or business entity seeking a discretionary contract with the city must disclose any known facts which reasonably understood raise a question as to whether any city official or					
employee would	d violate Section	#2-43 of the City Code (Ethics C	ode), ("confli	any chylonicial of cts of interest") by	
المستناب ا		ating to the discretionary contraction			
Party not aware of facts which would raise a "conflicts-of-interest" Issue under Section 2-43 of the City Code; or					
Party aware of the following facts:					
		ted in the event there is any change in t			
	bject of council act frod to be filed, which	ion, and no later than five (5) busines lever occurs first.	s days after an	y chango about which	
Signature:		Title: Loo	Date:		
mk!		Company or D/B/A:	4/15/	) 86	
		Grande Connunications, In	e.		

For purposes of this rule, facts are "reasonably understood" to "raise a question" about the appropriateness of official action if a disinterested person would conclude that the facts, if true, require recusal or require careful consideration of whether or not recusal is required.